

## Physiotherapy Methods in the Treatment of Low Back Pain

*Dr. Hazim Karim Abbas*

*MBChB Msc.physiology, Iraqi Ministry of health, Baghdad, Health Department/Al rusafa, Al-wasiti Teaching Hospital*

**Annotation:** In 2016, low back pain (LBP) led to an astonishing 57.6 million years of life lived under the shadow of disability across the globe. Guidelines for the management of non-specific LBP frequently recommend exercise as a primary intervention. It is recommended that non-pharmacological treatments be initiated promptly. This approach encompasses self-management and educational strategies, alongside the return to regular activity levels and workout regimens. Especially individuals suffering aggravation of illness, it also includes mental health interventions.

It is not advised to use passive techniques (rest, medicine) as they have been linked to a worsening of disability. The Danish, American, and UK recommendations all advocate exercise, either independently or together with different alternatives to drugs therapies. These involve massages, martial arts, aerobic and chiropractic care. Health education programs should educate people on how to avoid pain in their lower backs. The traditional physical therapeutic exercises approach remains the main course of defense for persistent backache and should be used on an ongoing basis.

### Introduction

The amount of persons experiencing LBP (low back pain) has risen worldwide [1]. This increase is primarily due to an aging and growing global population [1]. During 1990, the amount of years spend incapacitated considerably LBP has risen considerably more than 50%, particularly in developing countries [1, 2]. Low socioeconomic status (characterized by a low life quality and not enough resources) and obesity, smoking, and sedentary occupations are all associated with an increased risk of disability and associated costs [2].

Pain perception, the resulting impairment, and the utilization of medical care can all be impacted by the cultural, social, and political context around back pain [4]. When it comes to individuals with chronic low back pain (CLBP), high-quality economic evaluations weighing the pros and downsides of surgery in comparison to conservative care (using alternative treatment alternatives are required [5]. This article does not include any research the author has done using humans or animals; instead, it is based on studies that have already been done.

### Clinical Guidelines for Lower pain in the back

Recommendations encourage alternatives to medication as well as non-intrusive treatment [6]. These consist of patient education, exercise treatment, and guidance to maintain an active lifestyle [6]. Exercise is frequently advised as a treatment Guidelines advise using imaging, medication, and surgery with caution for non-specific LBP [7, 8].

A clinical examination can be used to triage patients who suffer from lower back pain [9]. In order to identify radicular features, this should involve collecting a history, doing a physical examination, and doing neurological testing [10]. Patients with pain in their back ought to be evaluated as "red flags" in order to rule out major disorders, and if there is a suspicion, diagnostic testing (such imaging) should be performed [11].

It is crucial to analyze psychological hazards (yellow signals discovered by predictive assessment techniques) in order to foresee poorer outcomes [10, 11]. Whether simpler, less-intensive care is necessary can be decided upon in consultation with the patient. It is advised to see a professional if,

after four weeks, there is still no improvement and a significant pathology or radiculopathy is suspected [10].

Simpler management techniques include giving advice and assurance on how to take care of oneself, encouraging people to be at active status and stay as far as they can from bed resting, encouraging people to resume their regular activities, and directing them toward exercise programs [7]. In a combined rehabilitation program, this could be paired with manual or psychological therapy [7].

**Prevention**

It is important to develop healthcare regimens that combat obesity and lazy lifestyle and offer a platform for reducing the impact of low back pain on day-to-day activities [12]. Evidence for therapy and prevention in CLBP frequently originates from high-income nations. It is unclear if these guidelines' recommendations apply to middle-class and low-income nations [8]. High-income nations will have different public health initiatives and priorities than low- and middle-income nations [8]. One obstacle to changing health pathways is the current health-care reimbursement methods [8]. Mapping the entire health route for low back pain—from the initial consultation to specialist care—is helpful [8].

Health care providers must to regularly educate patients about the mechanics, causes, course, and prognosis of low back pain in addition to highlighting the advantages of exercise and physical activity [12].

Moderate-quality research has demonstrated the effectiveness of exercise, either by itself or in conjunction with education, in preventing low back pain [13].

Exercise as a preventative measure for low back pain was the subject of a recent meta-analysis [15]. Exercise was shown to reduce the risk of LBP by 33% on its own [15].

Exercise and education together lowered the risk by 27% [15]. When comparing the exercise groups to the control groups, there was a decrease in both the severity of LBP and the disability that came along with it [15]. Exercise reduced the likelihood of LBP and the accompanying impairment, according to the analysis [15]. In order to prevent low back pain (LBP) in the general population, it makes sense to suggest a combination of aerobic activities and strengthening exercises to be performed two or three times a week [15].

**Management of Acute Low Back Pain**

When dealing with acute, non-specific low back pain without significant illness (no detected red flags), reassurance, encouragement to continue being active, and self-management are just enough [6, 7, 9, 11].

Self-management techniques for low back pain may entail online courses, booklet reading, and self-exercises [16]. (Table 1).

Acute low back pain (no dangerous pathology)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ At first consoling remarks, guidance on being busy and avoiding hospitalization as well as advice on autonomy</li> <li>➤ autonomy for low back pain might include knowledge from reading pamphlets or taking online courses, as well as self-exercises.</li> <li>➤ Manual therapy, superficial heating, and exercises are possible components of primary conservative physical treatment.</li> <li>➤ Advice on how to resume regular exercises or a recommendation for a private or public fitness regimen</li> <li>➤ NSAIDs and light opioids, used for short intervals of time (paracetamol is not advised), are examples of pharmaceutical therapy.</li> <li>➤ Within 7–14 days, the progress should be reviewed.</li> </ul>
<b>Table 1: Acute low back pain management (in the absence of significant disease)</b>

Exercise, superficial heat, and manual therapy are recommended as the three main initial conservative physical treatment options [17].

Acupuncture has a mildly positive effect on acute low back pain, according to limited data [18]. Patients are intended to be categorized into homogenous categories (derangement, dysfunction, or postural condition) using the McKenzie technique (MDT) [20].

This is to provide targeted exercise regimens and posture guidance to guide treatment [20]. Amount to moderate-to-high quality data showing that MDT cannot compete with conventional rehabilitative methods in improving discomfort as well as impairment in severe lower back pain [20]. Different exercises and teaching strategies are used in back schools. When treating acute low back pain, back school is more beneficial than receiving no treatment, according to extremely low-quality data [21].

Skeletal muscle tranquilizers, NSAIDs, as well as moderate analgesics can be used as short-term pharmacological treatments for severe low-back discomfort (paracetamol is not recommended) [9, 10]. The majority of patients with sudden back pain get better regardless of their treatment [18], and the benefits for managing pain are typically mild to severe as well as temporary [18]. Patients' advancement ought to be evaluated every 7 to 14 days [7], with advice on how to resume everyday tasks [8], or requested for a private or public fitness regimen [7].

No guidelines have been established about the amount of discomfort that can be experienced during exercise or at any point while the exercise is progressed [6]. Recently, a strategy for a comprehensive review was developed to investigate the impact of differentiating exercise regimens according to the severity of low back pain that primary care patients experience [6].

In conclusion, recommendations call for the early resumption of regular activities and exercise, Alternative medicine which concentrates on training as well as autonomy. For individuals whose symptoms worsen, further psychological programs should be included [8].

#### Physical Treatment Preferences

As previously stated, guidelines suggest avoiding bed rest in situations of low back discomfort and instead continuing with daily tasks [22]. Physical therapy aims to improve function while preventing disability aggravation [8]. Physical activity is currently the most common therapy for persistent back pain and should be used on a regular basis [8].

If those who have risk factors with chronic severe pain do not recover promptly, regular guided physical rehabilitation is recommended [23]. If back or lower back soreness continues for more than 12 weeks, orthopedic therapy such as individualized exercises or routines of exercise focusing on functional gains are recommended [8].

Exercise is actually a first-line treatment for low back pain lasting longer than 12 weeks, and it should be considered for routine usage [8]. Exercise therapy is also recommended for ongoing discomfort in the lower back [10].

Yoga, stretching, hydrotherapy exercises, tai chi, McKenzie exercise technique, and back schools are some examples of the exercise regimen that is required. and how this regimen is delivered (individual programs, group exercise, or supervised home exercise) are still highly inconsistent with clinical practice guidelines [10]. The ideal course of treatment depends on patient's preferences and therapist experience [10]. It is now recommended by clinical practice recommendations to use a variety of activities [10]. Central inhibitory pathways are activated during exercise, which reduces pain [18].

There isn't enough data to conclude that one kind of exercise is better than another [8]. Guidelines should, however, take into account each person's preferences, needs, and skills when choosing the kind of exercise to be used [8].

When treating a persistent backache lacking severe pathologists, a number of moderate therapeutic approaches are recommended: physical activity, meditation, biofeedback, also gradual deep relaxation massages, hands-on treatment, as well as a combination of therapies [17].

Persistent spinal discomfort (no significant disease)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Review sufferers employing a medical evaluation that involves a history, clinical investigation, and neurology testing to identify radicular characteristics.</li> <li>➤ achieve exclude out serious diseases, individuals must be monitored for "red flags," as well as diagnostics treatments (like scanning) must then be conducted if a concern is established.</li> <li>➤ Patients should be evaluated for psychological indicators of risk (commonly referred to as "yellow flags") such as catastrophizing, a lack of confidence, and mobility anxiety in order to prevent worse outcomes.</li> <li>➤ Apply a risk stratification instrument (like STarT).</li> <li>➤ It is advised to use non-pharmacological, non-invasive management techniques, such as education, self-management, returning to regular activities and exercise, and adding psychological programs for patients whose symptoms don't go away (multidisciplinary treatments).</li> <li>➤ Conventional rehabilitation techniques include strolling, yoga and Pilates, martial arts, , and gradual relaxing.</li> <li>➤ There is currently no evidence to support the superiority of any particular exercise regimen.</li> <li>➤ Ultimately, the optimal course of action may depend on the choices of the patient and the expertise of the treating clinician.</li> <li>➤ A variety of exercise formats ought to be utilized.</li> <li>➤ Exercise-based manual therapist is the main therapeutic option as well as should be used regularly.</li> <li>➤ A referral may be made for a program of individual or group exercise.</li> <li>➤ According to certain standards, passive physical therapies such as massage are either not recommended or optional.</li> <li>➤ Passive techniques (medication, rest) are not advised because they are linked to a worsening of disability.</li> <li>➤ If pharmaceutical treatments are used, they should consist of antidepressants and NSAIDs at as low dosage as possible and for the shortest amount of time.</li> <li>➤ Generally speaking, surgery, denervation techniques, and injections are not recommended.</li> <li>➤ Consult a physician if, after 4 weeks, there is little to no improvement and pathology or radiculopathy is suspected.</li> </ul>
<b>Table 2: Chronic low back pain management (in the absence of significant disease)</b>

Exercise and spinal maneuvers can be utilized to treat radiculopathy-related spinal discomfort [17]. Passive therapies are optional for individuals who do not respond to other treatments, according to certain standards [26]. These consist of acupuncture, massage, and spinal mobilization [26].

Other passive electrical or physical techniques, have mostly been shown to be ineffective and are not advised [22, 27, 28].

#### Association with Psychosocial Factors

Psychosocial variables such catastrophizing, fear of movement, self-efficacy, and the results of pain and disability are linked to physiotherapists' treatment of back pain.

In a most recent systematic review, psychosocial factors linked with modifications to discomfort and impairment outcomes were investigated in patients with chronic low backaches who were getting physiotherapy treatment. Psychosocial factors such catastrophizing, anxiety about mobility, as well as confidence have been connected to pain and disability outcomes [30].

#### Pilates

Pilates is a workout regimen that focuses on breathing, stretching, and controlled movement [30].

Pilates has been shown in the majority of clinical research conducted in the last five years to be a useful rehabilitation therapy that produces the intended effects, such as a decrease in pain and disability [30].

### **Yoga**

When we use yoga for chronic low back pain, there is a minor functional improvement and a slight reduction in pain, as proven by a Cochrane systematic review [30]. Additionally, yoga increases the likelihood of a clinical improvement. However, it was discovered that it made some people's back pain worse [30].

### **Walking**

One benefit of walking is that it's a simple exercise. Studies were conducted in chronic low back pain to determine the impact of walking on quality of life, pain, and disability at follow-up visits and post-intervention [30].

The data was examined using the following monitoring durations: immediate (< 3 months), intermediate-term (3 to 12 months), as well as permanent (> 12 months) [33]. Walking was shown to have been as beneficial as various alternatives to medication in lowering pain and disability in short and medium-term follow-ups, and it was recommended [30].

### **Mobilization and Manipulation Therapies**

In order to treat persistent low back pain, mobilization and manipulation have recently been the subject of an in-depth literature review and meta-analysis [34]. Enough data were obtained from nine trials (1176 patients). Seven trials (923 patients) comparing mobilization or manipulation to other active interventions demonstrated a reduction in impairment.

Subgroup studies revealed that mobilization considerably reduced pain but did not significantly reduce impairment when compared to other active comparators (such as exercise).

Subgroup analyses revealed that manipulation significantly reduced pain and impairment when compared to other active comparators (such as physical therapy and exercise).

A 2018 meta-analysis and systematic review found moderate-quality proof that manipulations as well as mobility relieve discomfort as they enhance performance during the management of persistent low back pain [34]. While both mobilization and manipulation were safe, manipulation appeared to be more successful [30].

### **Movement Control Exercises**

Recently, a systematic review was conducted on the efficiency of movement control workouts for individuals with movement control impairment (MVCE) and non-specific low back pain. At the conclusion of treatment and one year later, we found "extremely weak to intermediate excellent proof of the beneficial impact of MVCE on handicap". After MVCE, pain severity considerably decreased at the conclusion of treatment, but not after the 12-month period [30].

### **Technology-Supported Exercise Therapy**

Technology-supported exercise therapy (TSET) is made possible by technological solutions like electromyography feedback (EMG-FB), which has advanced to help with exercise therapy for low back pain [36]. A new comprehensive analysis found that TSET decreased discomfort, impairment, and standard life outcomes among low backache sufferers [30].

Nevertheless, there were few RCTs available for the majority of technologies, making it impossible to draw firm conclusions about the efficacy of certain technological systems [30].

## **McKenzie Method of Mechanical Diagnosis and Therapy (MDT)**

During the McKenzie Model of Mechanical Diagnosis and Therapy (MDT) assessment, a physician may suggest certain workouts as well as advise on which positions to at first abandon as well as which to take on [20].

A comprehensive assessment of the literature, including a systematic review, indicated moderate-to-excellent proof that MDT proved preferable to other treatment options in reducing discomfort as well as impairment in individuals with chronic low backache [20]. However, the type of intervention employed as a comparison with MDT varied in terms of evidence quality.

## **Back Schools**

According to the recent RCTs which evaluated the effectiveness of back schools was carried out [21]. In the intermediate and long run, back schools were shown to be less beneficial than exercise, according to low-quality research [21].

The efficacy of back schools in treating persistent low back pain is still unknown. Very poor-quality evidence indicated that passive physiotherapy was superior to back school at the long-term follow-up [21].

## **Clinical Guidelines**

Clinical guidelines are employed to promote consistent best practices, reduce needless variation, and get rid of low-value interventions [7]. There is little data to advise medical professionals on how to promote the application and adoption of suggested evidence-based practice when treating low back pain.

Self-management strategies for low back pain might involve doing exercises on your own, learning from pamphlets or online classes, or utilizing unproven smartphone applications. Although there isn't enough proof to support the use of self-management in the treatment of persistent low back pain, some guidelines do suggest it [44]. The majority of guidelines advise physical activity for non-specific LBP [7].

Patients with LBP are categorized for the risk of developing chronic non-specific LBP using the validated nine-item STarT Back Screening Tool. The NICE guideline suggests that shared decision-making on a patient's suitability for simpler, less-intensive support should be informed by the use of a risk stratification tool (e.g., STarT or Orebro) [7].

Reassurance, counsel on staying active, and direction on self-management or referral are a few examples of this. A referral may be made for any of several potential rehabilitation programs. These range from a workout regimen for individuals or groups, with or without manual or psychological therapies, to a rehabilitation program that is "combined physical and psychological." [7].

NICE recommendations advise for emotional and rehabilitation of the body therapy in cases where previous therapies have not worked and in cases where there are significant psychological barriers to recovery [7].

Guidelines from the United States of America (USA) and Canada for chronic lower back pain (LBP) recommend comprehensive pain management programs [7]. According to recommendations from Denmark [27], the United States [28], along with the United Kingdom [22], exercise can be used alone or in combination alongside different alternatives to drugs therapies. Some of these involve spinal manipulation (Danish, the United States, and Britain), massage (the United States along with Britain), as well as tai chi and yoga (USA).

## **Current Practice**

A recent assessment has outlined suggested modifications in management based on standards from the USA, Belgium, Denmark, and UK [9]. Before considering pharmaceutical interventions like NSAIDs and antidepressants, non-pharmacological treatments (physical and psychological) should be tried for

persistent non-specific low back pain [9, 10]. Additionally, multidisciplinary therapies are advised [9]. The lowest effective dose and shortest duration of administration are recommended for all pharmacological therapies [9]. Surgery, denervation techniques, and injections are not recommended [9].

#### Future Strategies

Health promotions ought to counsel individuals on the prevention of backache [8]. Modifiable risk factors for low back pain, which can cause disability, should be identified and treated through strategy creation and execution [12]. International and national officials should finance and push for the prevention of backache [12].

It is important to create health care pathways that allow patients to see the appropriate medical professionals when they need the appropriate care [12]. Reducing the needless use of medical care may be possible with better training for healthcare personnel [12]. In order to achieve optimal results, clinical pathways ought to be reorganized, and workplace and health care settings should both include interventions aimed at reducing work disability [8].

Techniques that are passive (rest, medicine) are connected to deteriorating impairment, whereas vigorous approaches (use) are linked to decreasing impairment. [12] Individuals experiencing backaches who are likely to experience permanent discomfort and disability must be recognized and educated early on.

Activity and function (together with work involvement) should be promoted, and practice needs to be aligned with the research [8]. Active interdisciplinary rehabilitation should be used to support a return to work by emphasizing healthy lifestyles and self-management [12].

Finally, physical therapy exercise is the most effective therapy of persistent low backache and should be practiced on occasion [8].

#### References

1. Clark, S., and R. Horton. "Low Back Pain: A Major Global Challenge." *Lancet*, vol. 391, no. 10137, 2018, p. 2302, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)30725-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30725-6).
2. Hartvigsen, J., et al. "What Low Back Pain Is and Why We Need to Pay Attention." *Lancet*, vol. 391, no. 10137, 2018, pp. 2356–67, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)30480-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30480-X).
3. Collaborators, Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence. "Global, Regional, and National Incidence, Prevalence, and Years Lived with Disability for 328 Diseases and Injuries for 195 Countries, 1990–2016: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016." *Lancet*, vol. 390, no. 10100, 2017, pp. 1211–59, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32154-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32154-)
4. Henschke, N., et al. "Understanding Cultural Influences in Back Pain and Back Pain Research." *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*, vol. 30, no. 6, 2016, pp. 1037–49, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2017.08.004>.
5. van Dongen, JM, et al. "Health Economic Evidence Gaps and Methodological Constraints in Low Back Pain and Neck Pain: Results of the Research Agenda for Health Economic Evaluation (RAHEE) Project." *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*, vol. 30, no. 6, 2016, pp. 981–93, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2017.09.001>.
6. Jorgensen, JE, et al. "Effect of Differentiating Exercise Guidance Based on a Patient's Level of Low Back Pain in Primary Care: A Mixed-Methods Systematic Review Protocol." *BMJ Open*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2018, p. e019742, <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019742>.
7. O'Connell, NE, et al. "Clinical Guidelines for Low Back Pain: A Critical Review of Consensus and Inconsistencies across Three Major Guidelines." *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*, vol. 30, no. 6, 2016, pp. 968–80, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2017.05.001>.

8. Foster, NE, et al. "Prevention and Treatment of Low Back Pain: Evidence, Challenges, and Promising Directions." *Lancet*, vol. 391, no. 10137, 2018, pp. 2368–83, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)30489-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30489-6).
9. Almeida, M., et al. "Primary Care Management of Non-Specific Low Back Pain: Key Messages from Recent Clinical Guidelines." *Med J Aust*, vol. 208, no. 6, 2018, pp. 272–75, <https://doi.org/10.5694/mja17.01152>.
10. Kamper, SJ, et al. "The Prevalence, Risk Factors, Prognosis and Treatment for Back Pain in Children and Adolescents: An Overview of Systematic Reviews." *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*, vol. 30, no. 6, 2016, pp. 1021–36, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2017.04.003>.
11. Strudwick, K., et al. "Best Practice Management of Low Back Pain in the Emergency Department (Part 1 of the Musculoskeletal Injuries Rapid Review Series)." *Emerg Med Australas*, vol. 30, no. 1, 2018, pp. 18–35, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.12907>.
12. Buchbinder, R., et al. "Low Back Pain: A Call for Action." *Lancet*, vol. 391, no. 10137, 2018, pp. 2384–88, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)30488-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30488-4).
13. Steffens, D., et al. "Prevention of Low Back Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." *JAMA Intern Med*, vol. 176, 2016, pp. 199–208, <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.7431>.
14. Michaleff, ZA, et al. "Low Back Pain in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Evaluating the Effectiveness of Conservative Interventions." *Eur Spine J*, vol. 23, 2014, pp. 2046–58, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-014-3461-1>.
15. Shiri, R., et al. "Exercise for the Prevention of Low Back Pain: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Controlled Trials." *Am J Epidemiol*, vol. 187, no. 5, 2018, pp. 1093–101, <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwx337>.
16. Mork, PJ, and K. Bach. "A Decision Support System to Enhance Self-Management of Low Back Pain: Protocol for the selfBACK Project." *JMIR Res Protoc*, vol. 7, no. 7, 2018, p. e167, <https://doi.org/10.2196/resprot.9379>.
17. Chou, R., et al. "The Global Spine Care Initiative: Applying Evidence-Based Guidelines on the Non-Invasive Management of Back and Neck Pain to Low- and Middle-Income Communities." *Eur Spine J*, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-017-5433-8>.
18. Chou, R., et al. "Nonpharmacologic Therapies for Low Back Pain: A Systematic Review for an American College of Physicians Clinical Practice Guideline." *Ann Intern Med*, vol. 166, no. 7, 2017, pp. 493–505, <https://doi.org/10.7326/M16-2459>.
19. Basford, JR, et al. "Laser Therapy: A Randomized, Controlled Trial of the Effects of Low-Intensity Nd:YAG Laser Irradiation on Musculoskeletal Back Pain." *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, vol. 80, 1999, pp. 647–52, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-9993\(99\)90167-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-9993(99)90167-3).
20. Lam, OT, et al. "Effectiveness of the McKenzie Method of Mechanical Diagnosis and Therapy for Treating Low Back Pain: Literature Review with Meta-Analysis." *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*, vol. 48, no. 6, 2018, pp. 476–90, <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2018.7562>.
21. Parreira, P., et al. "Back Schools for Chronic Non-Specific Low Back Pain." *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, vol. 8, p. CD011674.
22. "Low Back Pain and Sciatica in over 16 s: Assessment and Management." *UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence*, 2016, <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng59>.
23. Chou, R., et al. "Noninvasive Treatments for Low Back Pain." *Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US)*, 2016.



24. Da Silva Santos, R., and G. Galdino. “Does Exercise Increase or Decrease Pain? Central Mechanisms Underlying These Two Phenomena.” *J Physiol Pharmacol*, vol. 69, no. 1, 2018, pp. 3–13.
25. Ehrenbrusthoff, K., et al. “A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Reliability and Validity of Sensorimotor Measurement Instruments in People with Chronic Low Back Pain.” *Musculoskelet Sci Pract*, vol. 35, 2018, pp. 73–83, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2018.02.007>.
26. Wong, JJ, et al. “Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Noninvasive Management of Low Back Pain: A Systematic Review by the Ontario Protocol for Traffic Injury Management (OPTIMA) Collaboration.” *Eur J Pain*, vol. 21, 2017, pp. 201–16, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.931>.
27. Stochkendahl, MJ, et al. “National Clinical Guidelines for Non-Surgical Treatment of Patients with Recent Onset Low Back Pain or Lumbar Radiculopathy.” *Eur Spine J*, vol. 27, 2018, pp. 60–75, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-017-5099-2>.
28. Qaseem, A., et al. “Noninvasive Treatments for Acute, Subacute, and Chronic Low Back Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline from the American College of Physicians.” *Ann Intern Med*, vol. 166, 2017, pp. 514–30, <https://doi.org/10.7326/M16-2367>.
29. Resende, L., et al. “Meta-Analysis of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation for Relief of Spinal Pain.” *Eur J Pain*, vol. 22, no. 4, 2018, pp. 663–78, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.1168>.
30. Shipton EA. Physical Therapy Approaches in the Treatment of Low Back Pain. *Pain Ther*. 2018 Dec;7(2):127-137. doi: 10.1007/s40122-018-0105-x. Epub 2018 Sep 18. PMID: 30229473; PMCID: PMC6251828.