The Problem of Diagnosis and Treatment of Purulent-Inflammatory Diseases of Soft Tissues in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus

Abdurakhmanov F M., Kasimov U K.

Department of General and Pediatric Surgery №1., Tashkent Medical Academy

Annotation: The paper considers the problems of surgical infection of soft tissues that occur in patients with diabetes mellitus. The main causes of neglected forms are late diagnosis, while an analysis of the causes of progression has been carried out.

Keywords: surgical soft tissue infection, diabetes mellitus, diagnosis.

Skin and soft tissue infections (SSTI) are common clinical conditions that are represented by various forms of necrotic infections, ranging from mild to severe, often ending in death [1,2]. Mortality in this pathology varies widely. Thus, according to McHenry CR [3] and Frantsuzov VN [4], mortality in the development of such infections ranges from 13.9 to 30%. Mortality in the development of severe necrotic forms of soft tissue infection ranges from 6 to 76% [5, 6].

Necrotizing Fasciitis (NF) is a rapidly progressing severe infectious disease of the superficial fascial structures, with involvement of the skin and subcutaneous tissue in the necrotic process, without primary involvement of the underlying muscles in the pathological process [7, 8].

The earliest report of the disease dates back to the fifth century BC, when Hippocrates first described "erysipelas" in patients with rapidly spreading soft tissue infections that were associated with high mortality [23, 24]. The first description of "modern" necrotizing fasciitis was given by J. Johnson, who was a military surgeon in the Confederate Army during the American Civil War. In 1871, he used the term "hospital gangrene" to describe 2,642 cases of soldiers who developed "virulent" infections with "grayish and greenish deposits," with a mortality rate of 46% [25, 26].

In 1883, Jean Alfred Fournier reported five cases of perineal necrosis and named this type "Fournier's gangrene " after him [24]. In 1924, Meleni first found a correlation between NF and group A betahemolytic streptococcus in 20 patients at a hospital in Beijing, calling the disease "acute hemolytic streptococcal gangrene". Since then, the condition has been known by various terms such as " phagema ", " non-clostridial gas gangrene" and " necrotizing erysipelas" [24,26].

Historically, the clinical picture of necrotizing fasciitis (NF) has been known since 1871, when it was described by the American military doctor Joseph Jones as "hospital gangrene". In 1924, Meleney established that the causative agent of this pathology is beta-hemolytic streptococcus group A. The disease was designated as hemolytic streptococcal gangrene. However, later, in 1952, B. Wilson established the leading pathognomonic sign - fascial necrosis, therefore, B. Wilson's definition became generally accepted - " necrotizing fasciitis " [8]. However, necrotic fasciitis in isolation is quite rare and it is more appropriate to use the term necrotic soft tissue infections.

Since many clinical manifestations of SSTIs are not cultured, the most common pathogens generally remain uncertain, although Staphylococcus aureus and beta-hemolytic streptococci are considered to be dominant [10-13].

A number of authors identify the following conditions as factors predisposing to the development of NF: diabetes mellitus, immunodeficiency states, soft tissue injuries, drug injections, use of corticosteroids, infectious complications in the postoperative period, excess body weight, age over fifty years, and peripheral vascular disease [9].

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

The prevailing factor in the development of surgical soft tissue infection is diabetes mellitus. Surgical infection and diabetes mellitus, occurring simultaneously, differ in a number of features. Firstly, any, even insignificant, purulent focus causes a disruption of all metabolic processes, leading to insulin deficiency, progression of diabetes mellitus and its decompensation. Secondly, metabolic disorders slow down tissue regeneration and repair, complicate and aggravate the course of the inflammatory process, contributing to its spread and generalization. At the same time, among deceased patients suffering from diabetes mellitus, infectious processes were the cause of death in almost 25% of patients [14-15, 43].

The increased risk of STIs among diabetics may be partly due to the increased complexity of diagnosis and late patient referral [21]. However, the work of Muller L.M. highlights studies that show that patients with underlying diabetes mellitus are at increased risk of developing soft tissue infections [22].

The difficulty of diagnosis is that there are early and late clinical signs. Since the pathological process begins in deep tissues and fascia, early clinical manifestations may be barely noticeable, which leads to the dominance of advanced forms [27, 28, 29].

In a systematic review that included 317 limbs (102 upper limbs) with necrotizing fasciitis, it was reported that erythema (73%), pain (63%) and edema (49%) were the most common clinical manifestations, followed by skin induration, pus, fluctuation, hyperthermia and bullae [30].

Yeung et al ., retrospectively analyzed 29 patients with necrotizing upper extremity infections and reported that erythema, tenderness, and pain out of proportion to clinical findings were the most common symptoms in the early stages. Later , as the disease progresses, hemorrhagic bullae, skin anesthesia or crepitus, and gangrenous changes (the classic "severe signs" of the disease) may appear [31]. Moreover, Schecter et al., in a study of 33 cases of necrotizing In upper limb fasciitis , it was concluded that all patients experienced erythema, swelling, tenderness and warmth, while bullae, crepitus and skin necrosis were less common symptoms [32].

Systemic manifestations should not be overlooked, although they are rare in the initial stages and patients may be asymptomatic. Fever, tachycardia, and hypotension are warning signs. In the later stages, symptoms of septic shock or multiple organ failure may appear, resulting in hypotension, increased white blood cell count, coagulopathy, weakness, mental status changes, and metabolic acidosis [31].

Increased suspicion is required in cases of the acute/fulminant type of the disease due to its undetected early course and rapid progression. Iwata et al. described 5 cases of the fulminant type with no signs of skin inflammation such as redness and warmth, with purpura being the only initial clinical sign [33]. Kato et al. also described a case of the fulminant type with petechiae as the first clinical sign [34].

Laboratory and instrumental diagnostic methods are of no small importance, but their data are revealed only when late clinical signs appear [35, 36]. It is noteworthy that laboratory data on leukocytosis and hyponatremia improve sensitivity only during clinical examination. It has been shown that lactate on admission >6 mmol/l and serum sodium level <135 mEq /l are independent predictors of in-hospital mortality in patients with necrotic soft tissue infections [37].

In 2004, Wong et al. developed a laboratory risk indicator scale for necrotizing fasciitis (LINEC). This score uses white blood cell (WBC) count, hemoglobin, sodium, glucose, serum creatinine, and serum C-reactive protein to develop a scoring system for the likelihood of necrotizing fasciitis [38,43]. A recent multicenter prospective evaluation of the LINEC score has reduced the hype surrounding this prognostic tool, and the LINEC score may be artificially inflated in other musculoskeletal infections [39].

Of the imaging studies, CT and MRI are useful adjuncts to diagnosis when the diagnosis is not clear from clinical evaluation. Magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography have some value in the management of this disease; however, cost and availability limit their use[42]. Contrast-enhanced

CT showing lack of fascial enhancement along with fascial involvement by the infectious process is more specific for necrotizing fasciitis than air or edema alone [40]. MRI is useful in distinguishing necrotizing infection from non-necrotizing infection in cases of non-diagnostic CT and plain radiographs such as soft tissue edema [40, 41].

The rapidity and portability of ultrasound examination are attractive, but evidence is currently limited to sporadic reports and further data are needed before it can be considered as a primary diagnostic modality [42].

The main method of treating necrotic soft tissue infections remains surgical, which allows to identify the extent of tissue damage and the volume of the intervention. However, due to late diagnosis, patients develop systemic complications, which lead to multiple organ failure and death.

List of references.

- 1. Adrienne J., Headley MD Necrotizing soft tissue infections: a primary care review. American family physician. 2003;68:2:323-328;
- Aaron LJ, Lipsky BA, Lowe DE, Nathwani D, Theis AD, Volturo GA. Treatment of skin and soft tissue infections: recommendations from an expert panel on key decision points. J Antimicrob Chem. 2003; 52 (Suppl 1):i 3–i17
- A. Krieg (Krieg A., Röhrborn A., Schulte A. et al. Necrotizing fasciitis: microbiological characteristics and predictors of postoperative outcome // Eur. J. Med. Res. 2009. Vol. 14, No. 1. P. 30–36
- 4. Atie B.K., Zaatari A.M. Necrotizing fasciitis of the upper limb. *J Emerg Med* . 1994; 12(5):611-613. doi:10.1016/0736-4679(94)90412-x
- 5. Anaya D.A., Dellinger E.. Necrotizing soft tissue infection: diagnosis and treatment. Clin Infect Dis . 2007; 44 :705–10.
- Bobabekov AR, Qosimov UK, Atakov SS, Boboyev QX, Kasimova DU Specificity of soft tissue surgical infection in diabetes/ Turkish Journal of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation/ 32(2)/ P. 4127-4136
- 7. Bastug A., Kislak S., Mutlu N.M. et al. Necrotizing Streptococcus fasciitis mitis caused by an accidental human bite. *J Infect Dev Ctries* . 2016; 10(1):100-102. doi:10.3855/jidc.7040
- 8. Bluman E.M., Mehrefe A., Fadale.D. Idiopathic Staphylococcus aureus, necrotizing fasciitis of the upper limb. *J Shoulder Elb Surg*. 2005; 14(2):227-230. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2004.06.003
- Ki V, Rotstein C. Bacterial skin and soft tissue infections in adults: A review of their epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, treatment and site of care. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol. 2008; 19 :173–184.
- Dryden M. Complicated skin and soft tissue infections caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: epidemiology, risk factors, and presentation. Surg Infect (Larchmt) 2008; 9 (Suppl 1):s 3-10.
- 11. McHenry CR et al. Necrotizing fasciitis. Eur. J. Emerg. Med. 2004; 11:1:57-59.
- Frantsuzov V.N. Sepsis in patients with anaerobic non-clostridial soft tissue infection, diagnostics, treatment and organization of specialized medical care. Abstract of a doctoral dissertation . M. 2008; 12-13, 135-136
- 13. G.G. Shaginyan (Shaginyan G. G., Chekanov M. N., Shtofin S. G. Necrotizing fasciitis : early diagnosis and surgical treatment // Siberian Medical Review. 2011. No. 5. P. 55–59.)
- 14. Shlyapnikov S.A. Surgical soft tissue infections an old problem in a new light. Infections in surgery. 2003; 1: 1: 14-22

- 15. Wilson B. Necrotizing fasciitis. Am Surg. 1952; 18: 416-431;
- 16. Dryden MS. Skin and soft tissue infection: microbiology and epidemiology. Int J Antimicrobial Agents. 2009; 2–7.
- 17. Vinh D.K., Embil J.M. Rapidly progressive soft tissue infections. Lancet Infect. Dis . 2005; 5 :501-513. DOI: 10.1016/S1473-3099(05)70191-2.
- Jeng A, Beheshti M, Lee J, Nathan R. Role of beta-hemolytic streptococci in the occurrence of diffuse, uncultured cellulitis: a prospective study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2010; 89 :217–226. doi : 10.1097/MD.0b013e3181e8d635.
- 19. Eryukhin I. A. et al. Protocols for the diagnosis and treatment of sepsis and anaerobic infection. St. Petersburg, 2001; 8 p.
- 20. Surgical infections of the skin and soft tissues. Russian national recommendations. Moscow: Borges, 2009. 89 p.
- 21. Surgical infections of the skin and soft tissues. Russian national recommendations . M., 2015.
- 22. Eron LJ, Lipsky BA, Low DE, Nathwani D, Tice AD, Volturo GA. Managing skin and soft tissue infections: expert panel recommendations on key decision points. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2003; 52 (Suppl 1):i 3–i17.
- 23. Dryden MS. Skin and soft tissue infection: microbiology and epidemiology. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2009; 34 (Suppl 1):S 2–S7.
- 24. Vinh DC, Embil JM. Rapidly progressive soft tissue infections. Lancet Infect Dis. 2005; **5** : 501–513. doi : 10.1016/S1473-3099(05)70191-2.
- 25. Jeng A, Beheshti M, Li J, Nathan R. The role of beta-hemolytic streptococci in causing diffuse, nonculturable cellulitis: a prospective investigation. Medicine (Baltimore) 2010; 217–226.
- 26. Jackson, LA. Evaluation of diabetes mellitus as a risk factor for community-acquired infections. Clin Infect Dis . 2005; 41 :289–290. DOI: 10.1086/431594.
- Muller L.M., Gorter K. J., Hack E., Gudzwaard V. L., Schellewis F. G., Höppelmann A. I., Rutten G. E. Increased risk of common infections in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clin. Infect Dis . 2005; 41:281–288. DOI: 10.1086/431587;
- 28. Gavazyuk J., Liu T., Sigurdsson L., et al. Free tissue transfer for reconstruction of necrotizing fasciitis : a case series. *Burns* . 2017; 43(7):1561–1566. doi:10.1016/j.burns .2017.04.007;
- 29. Lill S.T., Sato T.T., Gravyur L.H., Foy H., Yurkovich G.J. Necrotizing soft tissue infections: diagnostic barriers . J Am Coll Surg . 1996; 182(1):7-11
- 30. Misiakos E., Bagias G., Patapis ., Sotiropoulos D., Kanavidis ., Macheras A. Current concepts in the treatment of necrotizing fasciitis . *Anterior Surg* . 2014;1. doi:10.3389/fsurg.2014.00036
- 31. Niki MM, Dong F, Au S, et al. Evaluation of a laboratory risk indicator for differentiating cellulitis from necrotizing fasciitis in the emergency department. *West J Emerg Med* . 2017; 18(4):684-689. doi:10.5811/westjem.2017.3.
- 32. Espandar R., Sibdari S.Yu., Rafii E., Yazdanyan S. Necrotizing fasciitis of the extremities: a prospective study. *Strategic Reconstruction of Extremity Injuries* . 2011; 6(3):121-125. doi:10.1007/s11751-011-0116-1
- Elliott D., Kufera JA, Myers RA. Microbiology of necrotizing soft tissue infections. Am J Surg. 2000; 179(5):361–366. doi:10.1016/s0002-9610(00)00360-3
- 34. Ginting KE, Caparelli M, Kundu N. Necrotizing soft tissue infection after intravenous drug administration: a multidisciplinary approach to surgical management in a community hospital. *Am Surg* . 2020; 86(9):1215-1217.

- 35. Crosby SN, Snoddy MS, Atkinson KT, Lee DH, Weickert DR Upper extremity myonecrosis due to Edwardsiella tarda leading to transhumeral amputation: A case report. J Hand Surg Am . 2013; 38(1):129-132. doi:10.1016/j.jhsa .2012.10.009
- 36. Paz Maya S., Duhalde Beltran D., Lemercier ., Leyva -Salinas S. Necrotizing Fasciitis : urgent diagnosis. *Skeletal radiola* . 2014; 43(5):577-589. doi:10.1007/s00256-013-1813-2
- Chan T1, Yaghoubyan A, Rosing D, Kaji A, de Virgilio K. Low sensitivity of physical examination findings in necrotizing soft tissue infection is improved by laboratory parameters: a prospective study. Am J Surg . 2008 Dec; 196 (6):926–30.
- Yaghoubyan A1, de Virgilio S, Dauphin S, Lewis RJ, Lin M. Use of serum lactate and sodium levels to predict mortality in necrotizing soft tissue infections. Arch Surg . 2007 Sep; 142 (9):840– 6.
- 39. Wong CH 1, Khin LV, Heng KS, Tan KS, Low Koh. Evaluation of LRINEC (Laboratory Risk Indicator for Necrotizing Inflammatory fasciitis): a tool for distinguishing necrotizing fasciitis from other soft tissue infections. Crit Care Med. 2004 Jul; 32 (7):1535–41
- 40. Hansen M. B., Rasmussen L. S., Svensson M., Chakrakodi B., Bruun T., Madsen M.B., Perner A., Garred ., Hildegaard I., onrrby Teglund A. Communication between cytokine answer, LRINEC assessment and outcome at patients With necrotizing infection soft tissues : multi-center, prospective study. Sci Rep. 2017; 7:42179.
- 41. Carbonetti F., Cremona A., Carusi V., et al. The role of contrast-enhanced computed tomography in the diagnosis of necrotizing fasciitis and comparison with laboratory risk index of necrotizing fasciitis (LRINEC) Radiol Med . 2016 Feb;121(2): 106–21.
- 42. Kim KT, Kim YJ, Won Lee J, Kim YJ, Park SW, Lim MK, Suh CH. Can MRI differentiate necrotizing infective fasciitis from necrotizing infective fasciitis ? Radiology. 2011 Jun;259(3): 816–24