

Gender Differences in Medicine: Clinical Implications of Biological and Social Factors in Diagnosis and Treatment

Dilnozakhon Shokirjon qizi Otakhonova

*Student, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Medical Treatment, Group 24-02, KUAF,
otaxonovadilnoza926@gmail.com*

Abstract: In modern medical science, the study of gender differences has become one of the key research directions aimed at improving the effectiveness of healthcare systems. Biological, hormonal, genetic, and metabolic differences between women and men significantly influence disease mechanisms, clinical manifestations, diagnostic accuracy, and treatment outcomes. In addition, gender-related social determinants—such as access to healthcare services, cultural stereotypes, socioeconomic status, and health-related behaviors—directly affect medical decision-making processes.

This article provides a systematic analysis of the clinical relevance of gender differences in cardiovascular, oncological, autoimmune, endocrine, infectious, and mental health disorders. Based on contemporary scientific literature, the study identifies differences in disease presentation, diagnostic errors, and treatment outcomes between women and men. Furthermore, the necessity of integrating gender-sensitive approaches into healthcare systems is substantiated, and evidence-based recommendations for clinical practice are proposed. The article offers strategies aimed at developing personalized, equitable, and effective medical care.

Keywords: gender medicine, biological differences, social determinants, diagnostic accuracy, treatment effectiveness, personalized medicine, health equity

INTRODUCTION

For many years, traditional medical practice has considered male physiology as the “universal model,” which has led to the underrepresentation and insufficient study of women’s health issues. As a result, important differences in disease presentation, diagnostic accuracy, and treatment effectiveness in women have often been overlooked. Over the past decades, scientific research has demonstrated that healthcare delivery that fails to account for sex (biological) and gender (social) differences contributes to poorer health outcomes.

Biological differences between women and men manifest at the levels of hormonal profiles, immune responses, metabolic rates, enzymatic activity, and gene expression. These differences significantly influence disease mechanisms, the spectrum of clinical symptoms, and responses to pharmacological interventions. For example, the higher prevalence of autoimmune diseases in women is linked to the immunomodulatory effects of estrogen, whereas the earlier onset of cardiovascular diseases in men is associated with metabolic and hormonal characteristics.

In addition, social determinants—including access to healthcare services, health literacy, socioeconomic status, gender stereotypes, and inequalities in medical decision-making—can lead to delayed diagnosis and reduced treatment effectiveness. Women’s health complaints are often perceived as emotional or psychosomatic, resulting in insufficient clinical evaluation. Men, on the other hand, are less likely to seek preventive care, which contributes to late-stage disease detection.

Modern healthcare systems increasingly recognize the necessity of integrating gender-sensitive approaches to address these challenges. Gender-based medicine is a scientific discipline that develops individualized treatment strategies by considering not only biological differences but also social and cultural factors. This approach represents a core component of personalized medicine and plays a crucial role in promoting health equity.

The primary objective of this article is to systematically analyze the clinical relevance of gender differences across various diseases, identify existing challenges, and develop evidence-based, gender-sensitive recommendations for clinical practice. Achieving this goal will enhance healthcare system effectiveness, reduce medical errors, and improve the quality of patient care.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study is based on a systematic literature review and conceptual analysis aimed at comprehensively evaluating the clinical relevance of gender differences in medicine. Scientific articles, clinical studies, cohort studies, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), and meta-analyses published between 2010 and 2024 were examined. Data were systematically retrieved from international scientific databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Embase, and Google Scholar.

The search strategy employed a combination of the following key terms: “gender differences in medicine,” “sex-specific disease presentation,” “gender-based diagnosis,” “treatment outcomes by sex,” “women’s health disparities,” “men’s health behavior,” “gender-sensitive healthcare,” “sex hormones and disease,” and “gender and clinical decision-making.” These terms were supplemented with Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) to ensure comprehensive coverage across medical disciplines.

Inclusion criteria comprised:

1. direct relevance to clinical practice;
2. explicit analysis of both biological sex and social gender differences;
3. strong methodological quality and statistical reliability;
4. publication in peer-reviewed international journals;
5. availability of full-text articles.

Exclusion criteria included duplicate publications, studies not directly related to the topic, purely theoretical works lacking empirical evidence, and studies assessed as having low methodological quality.

The selected sources were categorized into thematic groups covering cardiovascular, endocrine, oncological, autoimmune, infectious, and mental health disorders. Within each group, disease presentation, diagnostic characteristics, treatment protocols, and outcomes were compared across sexes.

Data analysis employed a combination of qualitative and quantitative approaches. Qualitative analysis involved synthesizing key concepts, clinical patterns, and recommendations reported in the literature. Quantitative analysis focused on identifying sex-based differences in disease prevalence, diagnostic delays, treatment effectiveness, and adverse event frequencies.

Furthermore, social determinants of health—including access to healthcare, health literacy, socioeconomic status, cultural norms, family roles, and gender inequalities in medical decision-making—were analyzed. This assessment utilized reports from healthcare organizations, global health statistics, and findings from social research.

Ultimately, a multifactorial conceptual model was developed to support gender-sensitive medical decision-making by integrating biological and social determinants. This model is proposed as a scientific framework for enhancing individualized clinical care and promoting health equity.

Materials and Methods:

This study is based on a systematic literature review and conceptual analysis aimed at comprehensively evaluating the clinical relevance of gender differences in medicine. Scientific articles, clinical studies, cohort studies, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), and meta-analyses published between 2010 and 2024 were examined. Data were systematically retrieved from

international scientific databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Embase, and Google Scholar.

The search strategy employed a combination of the following key terms: “gender differences in medicine,” “sex-specific disease presentation,” “gender-based diagnosis,” “treatment outcomes by sex,” “women’s health disparities,” “men’s health behavior,” “gender-sensitive healthcare,” “sex hormones and disease,” and “gender and clinical decision-making.” These terms were supplemented with Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) to ensure comprehensive coverage across medical disciplines.

Inclusion criteria comprised:

1. direct relevance to clinical practice;
2. explicit analysis of both biological sex and social gender differences;
3. strong methodological quality and statistical reliability;
4. publication in peer-reviewed international journals;
5. availability of full-text articles.

Exclusion criteria included duplicate publications, studies not directly related to the topic, purely theoretical works lacking empirical evidence, and studies assessed as having low methodological quality.

The selected sources were categorized into thematic groups covering cardiovascular, endocrine, oncological, autoimmune, infectious, and mental health disorders. Within each group, disease presentation, diagnostic characteristics, treatment protocols, and outcomes were compared across sexes.

Data analysis employed a combination of qualitative and quantitative approaches. Qualitative analysis involved synthesizing key concepts, clinical patterns, and recommendations reported in the literature. Quantitative analysis focused on identifying sex-based differences in disease prevalence, diagnostic delays, treatment effectiveness, and adverse event frequencies.

Furthermore, social determinants of health—including access to healthcare, health literacy, socioeconomic status, cultural norms, family roles, and gender inequalities in medical decision-making—were analyzed. This assessment utilized reports from healthcare organizations, global health statistics, and findings from social research.

Ultimately, a multifactorial conceptual model was developed to support gender-sensitive medical decision-making by integrating biological and social determinants. This model is proposed as a scientific framework for enhancing individualized clinical care and promoting health equity.

Results:

The literature review revealed substantial differences between women and men in disease presentation, diagnostic processes, treatment effectiveness, and overall medical outcomes. These differences were closely linked not only to biological mechanisms but also to social determinants.

Cardiovascular diseases. Studies demonstrated that women often present with atypical symptoms of ischemic heart disease. In addition to chest pain, fatigue, shortness of breath, nausea, back pain, and sleep disturbances are more common. As a result, diagnosis of myocardial infarction in women is frequently delayed, leading to higher complication rates and mortality. In contrast, men more commonly exhibit classic symptoms, allowing for faster diagnosis.

Oncological diseases. Research in oncology indicated that women exhibit higher sensitivity to certain medications, resulting in increased drug toxicity, adverse effects, and treatment modifications. Men, however, more often present with aggressive tumor types, late-stage diagnoses, and poorer prognoses. These differences were associated with hormonal profiles, metabolic characteristics, and health behaviors.

Autoimmune diseases. Autoimmune disorders were found to occur 2–9 times more frequently in women than in men. Conditions such as lupus, rheumatoid arthritis, Hashimoto's thyroiditis, and multiple sclerosis were more prevalent among women. These differences were attributed to the immunomodulatory effects of estrogen and progesterone, as well as genetic mechanisms linked to the X chromosome. Although autoimmune diseases are less common in men, they tend to be more severe and diagnosed at later stages.

Endocrine and metabolic disorders. Analysis of diabetes, obesity, and thyroid diseases showed that hormonal cycles, pregnancy, and menopause play a significant role in metabolic changes in women. In men, visceral fat accumulation, insulin resistance, and elevated cardiovascular risk were more prominent. Failure to tailor treatment strategies by sex limited optimal clinical outcomes in some cases.

Mental health. In mental health, women exhibited higher prevalence of depression, anxiety disorders, and post-traumatic stress disorder. Men, on the other hand, showed higher rates of suicide, alcohol abuse, and substance dependence. Gender stereotypes were found to influence diagnostic processes, sometimes leading to misclassification or underdiagnosis.

Infectious diseases. Sex-specific immune responses were observed in infectious diseases, with women demonstrating stronger immune reactions to certain infections but also higher risks of autoimmune complications. Men more frequently experienced severe infections and complications.

Social determinants. Analysis revealed that women's health complaints are often not taken seriously, leading to diagnostic delays and reduced treatment effectiveness. Men's lower utilization of healthcare services and preventive screenings contributes to late disease detection. Socioeconomic status, educational level, and cultural norms significantly influenced these processes.

Overall, the results clearly demonstrate that the interplay between biological and social factors substantially affects medical outcomes and underscores the clinical importance of a gender-sensitive approach.

Discussion:

The findings of this study provide strong scientific evidence supporting the necessity of a gender-sensitive approach in medical practice. The data demonstrate that biological and social differences between women and men significantly influence disease development, diagnosis, and treatment outcomes. Failure to account for these differences may increase medical errors, reduce treatment effectiveness, and exacerbate inequalities within healthcare systems.

First, biological factors—including hormonal profiles, immune responses, gene expression, and metabolic processes—shape the pathophysiological mechanisms of diseases. In women, the immunomodulatory effects of estrogen and progesterone help explain the higher prevalence of autoimmune disorders, while in men, testosterone-related metabolic characteristics contribute to the earlier development of cardiovascular diseases. Moreover, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic differences affect drug absorption, distribution, metabolism, and elimination, resulting in sex-based variations in therapeutic outcomes.

Second, social determinants play a critical role in diagnostic and treatment processes. Women's health complaints are often perceived as emotional or psychosomatic, leading to insufficient clinical evaluation. Men's lower utilization of healthcare services increases the risk of late-stage disease detection. These patterns illustrate how gender stereotypes and cultural norms directly influence medical decision-making.

Third, the underrepresentation of women in clinical research remains a significant challenge. Historically, many clinical trials predominantly included male participants, and findings were generalized to women. This practice has resulted in limited data on drug safety and efficacy for women. Ensuring equal representation of both sexes in modern research designs is a fundamental requirement for advancing gender-sensitive medicine.

Fourth, the development and implementation of gender-sensitive clinical guidelines can enhance healthcare system effectiveness. Such guidelines facilitate early disease detection, support individualized treatment strategies, and reduce adverse effects. For example, incorporating sex-specific diagnostic criteria for atypical cardiovascular symptoms in women can help lower mortality rates.

Fifth, the advancement of gender competence in medical education is essential. Medical students and practicing physicians must learn to distinguish between biological sex and social gender, understand their clinical implications, and apply this knowledge in practice. This approach not only improves clinical outcomes but also fosters an equitable and inclusive healthcare environment.

Several limitations of this study should be acknowledged. The analysis is primarily based on secondary data, and certain regions and populations may be underrepresented. Additionally, disentangling biological and social factors is complex, as their interactions are often synergistic and mutually reinforcing. Future empirical research should aim to evaluate these differences more precisely and explore effective mechanisms for integrating gender-sensitive approaches into clinical practice.

Overall, the discussion highlights that gender-sensitive medicine is an integral component of modern healthcare, with significant clinical, social, and ethical implications.

Conclusion:

This article systematically analyzed the biological and social determinants of gender differences in medicine and highlighted their clinical significance. The findings indicate that differences between women and men significantly influence disease development, diagnostic accuracy, treatment effectiveness, and overall health outcomes. Biological factors, including hormonal profiles, genetic characteristics, and immune responses, shape disease pathophysiology, while social factors determine access to healthcare services, medical decision-making, and engagement in preventive care.

Key implications of the findings include:

1. Developing and implementing gender-sensitive clinical protocols ensures individualized patient care and improves treatment outcomes.
2. Enhancing gender competence in medical education helps clinicians make equitable and informed decisions in diagnosis and treatment.
3. Considering both biological and social factors promotes equitable and inclusive healthcare delivery.

Future research should focus on exploring the interplay between biological and social determinants, optimizing clinical protocols, and integrating gender-sensitive approaches into routine medical practice. By doing so, personalized medicine that accounts for gender differences can significantly enhance patient health and healthcare system effectiveness.

References

1. Regitz-Zagrosek, V., & Kararigas, G. (2017). Mechanistic pathways of sex differences in cardiovascular disease. *Physiological Reviews*, 97(1), 1–37. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00021.2015>
2. Mauvais-Jarvis, F., Merz, N. B., Barnes, P. J., Brinton, R. D., Carrero, J. J., DeMeo, D. L., & Regitz-Zagrosek, V. (2020). Sex and gender: Modifiers of health, disease, and medicine. *The Lancet*, 396(10250), 565–582. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31561-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31561-0)
3. Tannenbaum, C., & Greaves, L. (2016). Sex and gender analysis improves science and engineering. *Nature*, 530(7591), 303–305. <https://doi.org/10.1038/530303a>
4. Bairey Merz, C. N., Andersen, H., Sprague, E., & Smith, K. (2015). Gender differences in cardiovascular disease: Implications for care. *Clinical Cardiology*, 38(2), 76–81. <https://doi.org/10.1002/clc.22315>

5. Whitacre, C. C. (2001). Sex differences in autoimmune disease. *Nature Immunology*, 2(9), 777–780. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ni0901-777>
6. World Health Organization. (2021). *Gender and health*. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/gender-and-health>
7. Shah, S. J., & Joyce, E. A. (2018). Gender-specific aspects of heart failure. *Current Cardiology Reports*, 20(10), 92. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11886-018-1021-1>
8. Mendenhall, E., Kohrt, B. A., Norris, S. A., Ndeti, D., & Prabhakaran, D. (2017). Non-communicable disease syndemics: Poverty, depression, and diabetes among low-income populations. *The Lancet*, 389(10072), 951–963. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)30412-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30412-9)
9. Zucker, I., & Prendergast, B. J. (2020). Sex differences in pharmacokinetics predict adverse drug reactions in women. *Biology of Sex Differences*, 11, 32. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13293-020-00319-7>
10. Franconi, F., Brunelleschi, S., Steardo, L., & Cuomo, V. (2011). Gender differences in drug responses. *Pharmacological Research*, 64(2), 228–235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2011.04.003>