Our Experience and Achievements of Expulsive Drug Therapy on Ureteral Stone Evacuation

Kasimov S. S., Abdukarimov O. O., Khudaybergenov U. A.

Tashkent Medical Academy, Tashkent, "Republican Specialized Scientific and Practical Medical Center of Urology" of the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Tashkent

Abstract:

Objective: To evaluate the possibilities of expulsive drug therapy in the treatment of patients with ureteral stones.

Materials and Methods: 60 patients with ureteral stones underwent standardized expiratory drug therapy.

Results: The use of standard expulsive drug therapy for the treatment of patients with ureteral stones provided independent removal of stones from the ureter in 50 (83%) patients.

Conclusions: Due to the high efficiency, the conservative approach should be considered as a treatment option for uncomplicated ureteral stones.

Keywords: ureteral stones, drug therapy, treatment effectiveness.

The high prevalence of urolithiasis (USD), which occurs in at least 7% of the population of industrialized countries, has been stimulating the search for effective mechanisms of metaphylaxis for many decades, the improvement of diagnostic methods, and the development of new surgical treatment technologies [3]. It is well known that men suffer from urolithiasis more often than women. Since the kidneys of men and women are anatomically identical, the role of sex hormones in the onset of kidney stones is obvious. Interestingly, the incidence of kidney stones before puberty is the same between men and women. In Uzbekistan, the prevalence of urolithiasis, depending on the region, is up to 8% [1,2]. The share of patients with ureteral stones accounts for 20 to 50% of all cases of urolithiasis disease[4]. Ureteral stones are an occlusive factor that increases the risk of serious complications of urolithiasis. Stones in the ureter, as a rule, cause pain, up to a severe attack of renal colic [6]. Modern therapies, such as extracorporeal extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL) and ureteroscopy, can resolve almost all cases of urcerolithiasis. At the same time, the role of drug therapy in the treatment of these conditions is not fully understood. Despite the clinical need, to date, the most effective pharmacological regimen for the treatment of ureteral stones has not yet been determined [5].

Purpose of the study.

Evaluation of the possibilities of expulsive drug therapy in the treatment of patients with ureteral stones in men.

Material and methods.

In the period from February 2022 to March 2023, 60 patients with stones (d<8mm) of the ureter were examined and treated at the State Institution "Republican Specialized Scientific and Practical Medical Center of Urology" for examination and treatment. The patients were aged 20 to 75 years (mean age 39.3 ± 2.5), all males. The size of the stones varied from 3.5 mm to 8.0 mm (mean 5.7 ± 0.3). Exclusion criteria from the study were acute urinary tract infection, diabetes mellitus, a history of independent stone passage or previous surgery on the ipsilateral ureter, age younger than 20 years. The criteria for discontinuation of conservative treatment and the indication for active treatment were the patient's wish, intractable pain, hyperthermia and/or the absence of independent stone passage after 3 weeks of observation. Observation of patients was also terminated in the case of independent discharge of the

stone. The protocol of clinical examination of patients with urolithiasis disease included assessment of complaints and history taking, physical examination, ultrasound examination of the kidneys and urinary tract, X-ray examination, qualitative and quantitative microscopic analysis of urine, bacteriological culture of urine was performed according to indications, if necessary (ESWL) - biochemical and hematological tests . An invariable component of the tactics of treating patients with ureteral stones was the so-called expulsive drug therapy - abundant fluid intake to achieve diuresis up to 2 liters per day, regardless of the fluid taken, and the administration of metamizole sodium (500 mg intramuscularly or intravenously) for pain. The criteria for evaluating the effectiveness of treatment were the frequency of stone passage from the ureter, the time required for the stone to pass, the amount of metamizole sodium used, the need for hospitalization, the severity of the pain syndrome, which was assessed by the visual pain assessment scale (VAS - visual analog scale).

Results and discussion.

When using expulsive drug therapy, the incidence of stone passage was 80%. The size of the departed stones was 6.0 ± 0.4 mm, the average time required for the passage of the stone was 7.3 ± 0.5 days. During the observation period, 500 ± 10.5 mg of metamizole sodium was used on average for the relief of pain per patient. There was no need for hospitalization of patients. Unexpressed side effects associated with expulsive therapy were noted in 10 (20%) patients, but none of them stopped treatment. In 5 patients, nausea and vomiting were observed, in 2 - general weakness, which were associated with recurrent attacks of renal colic. The severity of pain on a visual analogue scale was 6.1 ± 0.3 (from 2 to 9) points. In the course of treatment, pain periodically became less intense (p<0.05).

Of the 10 (20%) patients who did not pass stones during the observation period, 8 underwent ESWL, 1 underwent UR ureterolithotripsy, 1 underwent relocation of the ureteral stone into the kidney and percutaneous nephrotripsy.

Analysis of the results of expulsive therapy depending on the localization of the stone showed that in patients with stones in the lower third of the ureter, the rate of stone passage was 16.4% higher than in patients with stones in the upper or middle third of the ureter. The time interval until the moment of stone passage was also somewhat longer in the group of patients with stones in the lower third of the ureter, however, this difference was not significant (Table 1). The size of the stone, determined by the initial imaging methods, did not differ significantly in the groups of patients on average. In addition, there were no significant differences in the size of the passed stones in patients of the two groups (Table 1). No differences were found between the compared groups in terms of pain intensity at admission, as well as the number of renal colic before admission (Table 2). As the analysis of the results of treatment shows, both the intensity of pain and the number of renal colic in the course of treatment significantly decreased significantly in patients of both groups. A similar situation was observed with respect to episodes of renal colic on admission and during treatment. During treatment, the total dose of metamizole sodium, reflecting the need for analgesics, in the group of patients with stones in the lower third of the ureter was lower than in patients with stones in the upper or middle third of the ureter. However, the mean values of the total dose of metamizole sodium per patient did not differ significantly.

Conclusions.

- 1. A conservative approach should be considered as a treatment option for uncomplicated ureteral stones.
- 2. The optimal pharmacological scheme of expulsive therapy has not yet been developed, but its use is recommended due to its high efficacy, minimal side effects and good patient tolerance.
- 3. Further clinical multicenter studies are needed in this area to clarify all aspects of the use of expulsive therapy in the treatment of patients with ureteral stones.

References

- 1. Akilov F.A. Mamatkulov B.M., Khudaybergenov U.A. et al. Prevalence of urological diseases in the Aral Sea region // Expert. and wedge. urol. 2012. No. 2. Tiselius H.G., Ackermann D., Alken P. et al. European Association of Urology. Guidelines on Urolithiasis, 2015.
- Knoll T. Epidemiology, Pathogenesis and Pathophysiology of Urolithiasis // Europ. Urol. 2010. -Suppl. 9. - P. 802-806.
- 3. Kupeli B., Irkilata L., Gurocak S. et al. Does tamsulosin en hance lower ureteral stone clearance with or without shock wave lithotripsy? // Urology. 2014. Vol. 64. R. 1111-1115.
- Segura J.W., Preminger G.M., Assimos D.G. et al. Ureteral Stones Clinical Guidelines Panel Summary Report on the Management of Ureteral Calculi // J. Urol. - 2012. - Vol.158. - R.1915-1921.
- 5. Sowter S.J., Tolley D.A. The management of ureteric colic // Curr. Opin. Urol. 2016. Vol. 16, no. 2. P. 71-76.
- 6. Akilov F.A., Nurullaev R.B., Khudaybergenov U.A. and other Modern aspects of the prevalence of urolithiasis // Zhurn. theoret. and wedge. medicine. 2013. No. 3. S. 53-56. P. 13-17.
- 7. Akilov F.A, Ayubov B.A, Mirkhamidov D.Kh. Kasimov S.S. Nadzhimitdinov Ya.S., Olloyorov A.A. Navruzov E.R., Abdukarimov O.O "Experience and achievements in Retroperitoneoscopic ureterolithotomy of the Republican Specialized Scientific and Practical Medical Center of Urology" «Молодой учёный». № 11(458). Р.44-48. Март 2023 г.
- F.R.Nasirov., D.Kh.Mirkhamidov., S.S.Kasimov., Ya.S.Nadjimitdinov., A.A. Olloyorov., E.R. Navruzov., O. O. Abdukarimov. "Comparative assessment of the efficiency of endoscopic urethra recalanalization in men". British Medical Journal Volume-3, No 2. P.98-103. March 2023.
- D.Kh.Mirkhamidov, S.S.Kasimov, Kh.B. Khudayberdiev, O.O.Abdukarimov, A.A.Olloyorov. "Managing staghorn kidney stones: a study on the effectiveness of multi-access percutaneous nephrolithotripsy" International Journal of Education, Social Science & Humanities. Finland Academic Research Science Publishers. Volume-11| Issue-4| 2023 Published: |22-04-2023| P.1866-1874
- D. Kh. Mirkhamidov, U. A. Xudoybergenov, S. S. Kasimov, Kh. B. Khudayberdiev, Ya. S. Nadjimitdinov "Effect of expulsive drug therapy on ureteral stone evacuation" GIIRJ Vol.11 Issue 05, May 2023y. P.107-111
- 11. U.A.Xudoybergenov,S.S.Kasimov,Sh.A.Abbosov, A.B.Shomarufov, O.O.Abdukarimov "Studying of prevalence of the most significant urological diseases in the Aral Sea Area" Acadimicia Globe: Inderscience Research Volume4, Issue5, May2023 P.199-206
- 12. S.S.Kasimov. "Experience and achievements of expulsive drug therapy on ureteral stone evacuation" World scientific research journal Volume-19_Issue-1_September -2023 P.20-23
- S.S.Kasimov, Akilov F.A., Mukhtarov Sh.T Abbosov Sh.A Abdukarimov O.O Khudoiberdiev H.B Shomarufov A.B "Possibilities of predicting the effectiveness of varicocelectomy in the treatment of male infertility" Tashkent Medical Academy – Medical Journal of Young Scientists No. 7 (09) 2023 Str7-11
- 14. S.S.Kasimov., Shomarufov A.B., Khudoibergenov U.A., Abbosov Sh.A., Khudayberdiev Kh.B., Abdukarimov O.O "Possibilities of predicting the effectiveness of varicocelectomy in the treatment of male infertility" Scientific and practical medical journals of the Association of Doctors of Uzbekistan, 3/2023 Pages 34-36
- 15. S.S.Kasimov., Akilov F.A., Muxtarov Sh.T., Shomarufov A.B., Abbosov Sh.A., Shavakhabov Sh.Sh., Xudoybergenov U.A, Khudayberdiev Kh.B., Abdukarimov O.O. "Prediction of the

efficiency of varicocelectomy in male subfertility treatment" Galaxy international interdisciplinary research journal (GIIRJ) Vol.11 Issue06, june (2023) P365-368

Parameter	Localization of the ureteral stone			
	in the upper or	in the lower third of	р	
	middle third of the	the ureter,	r	
	ureter, n=24	n=36		
Stone size at admission, mm	8,0 (4,0-8,0)	5,0 (3,5-8,0)	0,10	
Stone evacuation rate, n (%)	14 (60,9) 40,8 – 77,8	17 (77,3) 56,6 – 89,9	< 0,2	
Time to stone evacuation, days	7,3 (5,0 – 20,0)	6,8 (3,0-15,0)	0,18	
The size of the departed stone, mm	5,0 (3,5-5,0)	6,0 (3,5-6,0)	0,95	

Table 1. Effect of expulsive drug therapy on ureteral stone passage depending on its location,
n=60

Table 2. The effectiveness of expulsive drug therapy in the treatment of pain, depending on the localization of the stone, n=60

	Localization of the ureteral stone		
Parameter	in the upper or	in the lower third of	D
	middle third of the	the ureter,	I
	ureter, n=24	n=36	
Pain intensity on			
admission, VAS	9,0 (8,0-9,0)	9,0 (7,0-10,0)	0,85
score			
Pain intensity after	60(50-70)	60(48-70)	0.95
1 week, VAS score	0,0 (3,0-7,0)	0,0 (4,8-7,0)	0,95
Number of episodes			
of renal colic before	5,0 (3,0-7,0)	3,0 (2,0-7,3)	0,46
treatment			
Number of episodes			
of renal colic	4,0 (3,0-7,0)	3,5 (1,8-5,3)	0,53
during follow-up			
Need for			
analgesics:	500.0(100.0.200.0)	500 (50 0 150)	0,21
metamizole sodium	500,0 (100,0-200,0)	500 (50,0-150)	
mg			