

Modern Diagnostic and Treatment Methods for Myelodysplastic Syndromes

Kushakov D.U.,

Termiz Branch of The Tashkent State Medical University

Ruzikulov A.K.,

Termiz Branch of The Tashkent State Medical University

Temirov E.S.,

Termiz Branch of The Tashkent State Medical University

Annakulov S.,

Termiz Branch of The Tashkent State Medical University

Izomov N.Kh.

Termiz Branch of The Tashkent State Medical University

Abstract: Myelodysplastic syndromes are clonal diseases of hematopoietic stem cells characterized by ineffective hematopoiesis, peripheral cytopenias, and a risk of transformation into acute myeloid leukemia. In recent years, significant advances in molecular genetics, cytogenetics, and targeted therapy have fundamentally altered the principles of MDS diagnosis and treatment. This article analyzes the pathogenesis of MDS, modern diagnostic algorithms, the importance of molecular biomarkers, and the innovative treatment methods currently being used.

Keywords: myelodysplastic syndrome, hematopoiesis, cytopenia, NGS, IPSS-M, azacitidine, luspatercept, transplantation.

Introduction

Myelodysplastic syndromes are clonal neoplastic diseases of hematopoietic stem cells in the bone marrow. They are characterized by ineffective hematopoiesis, dysplastic changes, and blood cell deficiencies [1].

Although MDS was first identified as a distinct nosological entity in the second half of the 20th century, its genetic basis has been extensively studied in recent decades. Today, MDS is considered not only a morphological but also a molecular and cytogenetic disease [2].

Methodology

The disease primarily occurs in the elderly population. In European countries, there are 4–5 new cases per 100,000 people, while among the population over 70 years of age, this rate increases to 20–50 cases.

Etiology and Risk Factors

The etiology of MDS is multifactorial.

Primary MDS

In 80–90% of patients, the disease develops de novo.

Secondary MDS

It can be associated with the following factors:

Alkylating chemotherapeutic agents;

Topoisomerase II inhibitors;

Radiation therapy;

Benzene;

Pesticides;

Ionizing radiation.

Therapy-related MDS typically has a poor prognosis.

Pathogenesis

According to modern views, MDS develops as a result of a genetic mutation in the hematopoietic stem cell.

Main pathogenetic mechanisms:

clonal hematopoiesis;

impaired gene expression;

increased apoptosis;

immune dysregulation;

changes in the microenvironment.

Common mutations in MDS:

Gene Frequency

TET2 20-30

SF3B1 20-30

ASXL1 15-20

DNMT3A 10-15

SRSF2 10-15

RUNX1 10

TP53 5-10

In particular, the TP53 mutation is associated with a high-risk course and a short lifespan.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Classification

According to the World Health Organization classification:

MDS is divided into the following main types [3]:

MDS with low blasts

MDS with ring sideroblasts

MDS with isolated del

MDS with biallelic TP53 inactivation

MDS with excess blasts

MDS, not otherwise specified

Clinical Signs

The clinical presentation depends on the degree of cytopenia [4].

Signs of Anemia

fatigue; decreased work capacity; dizziness; tachycardia; shortness of breath .

Signs of Neutropenia: frequent infections; pneumonia; septic complications.

Signs of Thrombocytopenia: petechiae, purpura, nosebleeds, bleeding gums.

Modern Diagnostic Algorithm

Stage 1: Laboratory Tests

Complete Blood Count

Hemoglobin

Leukocytes

Neutrophils

Platelets[5]

Biochemical Analysis:

Ferritin

Vitamin B12

Folate

LDH

Erythropoietin

Stage 2: Bone Marrow Examination

Aspiration

Assessed:

Dysplasia

Blast count

Sideroblasts

Trepanobiopsy

Determined:

Cellularity

Fibrosis

Architectural changes [6]

Stage 3: Immunophenotyping

Via Flow Cytometry:

CD34

CD117

HLA-DR

CD13

CD33

expression is assessed [7].

Stage 4: Cytogenetic Analysis

The most significant aberrations:

del (5q)

-7

del (7q)

+8

del (20q)

Complex karyotype

Stage 5: Molecular Diagnostics

NGS Technology

It is now considered a part of standard diagnostics [8].

Checked:

TP53

TET2

ASXL1

SF3B1

RUNX1

DNMT3A

EZH2

Molecular analysis is of great importance for prognosis and therapy selection.

Modern Prognostic Assessment

IPSS-R

5 risk groups:

Very low

Low

Intermediate

High

Very high

IPSS-M

Introduced in 2022.

It simultaneously takes into account:

- 31 gene mutations

- Cytogenetics

- Laboratory parameters

This system has significantly increased prognostic accuracy.

This system significantly increased the accuracy of the forecast [9].

Modern Treatment Methods

Supportive Therapy

Transfusion Support

- Packed red blood cells;

- Platelet concentrate [10].

Iron Chelators

- Deferasirox

- Deferoxamine

Treatment of Low-Risk MDS

Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents

- Epoetin alfa

- Darbepoetin alfa

Efficacy is observed in 40–60% of cases.

Lenalidomide

In del syndrome, it provides:

- transfusion independence;

- cytogenetic remission [11].

Luspatercept

In patients with SF3B1 mutations, it:

- increases hemoglobin;

- reduces the need for transfusion.

Imetelstat

A new-generation drug used for transfusion-dependent anemia.

Treatment of High-Risk MDS

Azacitidine

Currently considered the first-line standard of care.

Advantages:

- increases overall survival;

- delays transformation to AML [12].

Decitabine

An alternative hypomethylating agent.

Combination Therapy

Current clinical trials are studying regimens such as:

- azacitidine + venetoclax;

- azacitidine + magrolimab;

- azacitidine + sabatolimab.

New combinations are particularly promising for patients with TP53 mutations.

Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation

The only potentially curative method for MDS [13].

Indications:

high-risk MDS;
young patients;
good functional status.

Modern technologies:

high-resolution HLA typing;
haploidentical transplantation;
reduced-intensity conditioning.

The 5-year survival rate after transplantation can reach 40–70% [14].

Future Prospects

Current research is being conducted in the following areas:

TP53-targeted therapy;
immune checkpoint inhibitors;
bispecific antibodies;
CAR-T therapy;
gene editing technologies;
personalized medicine.
gene editing technologies;
personalized medicine [15].

Conclusion

Myelodysplastic syndromes are one of the most pressing issues in modern hematology. The development of molecular genetics has fundamentally changed our understanding of MDS. Currently, diagnosis is based on morphology, cytogenetics, and NGS technologies. Treatment primarily involves a risk-stratified approach, hypomethylating agents, targeted therapy, and allogeneic transplantation. In the near future, genetic and immunological technologies are expected to further enhance the effectiveness of MDS treatment.

References

- [1] World Health Organization, WHO Classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues, 5th ed. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2022.
- [2] National Comprehensive Cancer Network, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Myelodysplastic Syndromes, Version 2.2024. Plymouth Meeting, PA, USA: NCCN, 2024.
- [3] U. Platzbecker et al., “European LeukemiaNet recommendations for the diagnosis and management of myelodysplastic syndromes in adults,” *Blood*, vol. 143, no. 12, pp. 1105–1121, Mar. 2024.
- [4] American Society of Hematology, “ASH clinical practice guidelines for the management of myelodysplastic syndromes,” *Blood Advances*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 612–625, Feb. 2023.
- [5] B. Malcovati et al., “Valedictory International Prognostic Scoring System for Myelodysplastic Syndromes: IPSS-M,” *NEJM Evidence*, vol. 1, no. 7, p. EVIDoA2200008, Jul. 2022.
- [6] R. Bejar, “Clinical implications of somatic mutations in myelodysplastic syndromes,” *Blood*, vol. 141, no. 9, pp. 981–992, Mar. 2023.
- [7] G. Garcia-Manero, “Current and emerging therapies in myelodysplastic syndromes,” *American Journal of Hematology*, vol. 99, no. 4, pp. 675–692, Apr. 2024.
- [8] P. Fenaux et al., “Luspatercept for the treatment of anemia in myelodysplastic syndromes,” *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 382, no. 2, pp. 140–151, Jan. 2020.

- [9] D. P. Steensma, “The changing landscape of classification and prognosis in MDS,” *Leukemia*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 945–957, May 2023.
- [10] M. Cazzola, “Myelodysplastic syndromes: Biological and clinical characteristics,” *Blood*, vol. 135, no. 13, pp. 1006–1014, Mar. 2020.
- [11] T. Haferlach et al., “Landscape of genetic mutations in 944 patients with myelodysplastic syndromes,” *Leukemia*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 241–247, Feb. 2014.
- [12] H. Döhner et al., “Acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndromes: ELN 2022 recommendations,” *Blood*, vol. 140, no. 12, pp. 1345–1377, Sep. 2022.
- [13] E. Hellström-Lindberg et al., “Management of anemic patients with myelodysplastic syndromes,” *The Lancet Haematology*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. e450–e462, Jun. 2023.
- [14] A. M. Zeidan et al., “Hypomethylating agents in myelodysplastic syndromes: Current standards and next steps,” *Cancer Treatment Reviews*, vol. 118, p. 102570, Jul. 2023.
- [15] J. Boulwood et al., “The 5q- syndrome: From pathogenesis to therapy,” *Blood*, vol. 138, no. 24, pp. 2453–2464, Dec. 2021.