

Mini Percutaneous Nephrolithotripsy: A Minimally Invasive Approach to Kidney Stone Removal

Gulamov Mirfayz Khalilovitch, Khalimov Nozimjon Namoz ogli

Department of Urology, Nephrology and Hemodialysis, Bukhara State Medical Institute

Abstract: Mini Percutaneous Nephrolithotripsy (Mini-PCNL) is a minimally invasive surgical technique used to treat kidney stones. This review examines the advantages of Mini-PCNL, its modernization and development, popularity, effectiveness, and safety. The procedure offers significant benefits over traditional methods, including reduced recovery time, lower complication rates, and suitability for a wide range of patients. Technological advancements and innovations in equipment have further enhanced its effectiveness and safety. This review provides a comprehensive analysis of Mini-PCNL, supported by case studies and clinical trials, and discusses future directions for research and development.

Keywords: Mini-PCNL, kidney stones, minimally invasive surgery, nephrolithotripsy, urology, effectiveness.

INTRODUCTION

Kidney stones are a prevalent urological issue, causing significant pain and potential complications if untreated. Traditional treatments include medication, extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL), ureteroscopy, and percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL). Mini Percutaneous Nephrolithotripsy (Mini-PCNL) is a modern, minimally invasive technique that offers a less invasive alternative to standard PCNL. Mini-PCNL is a refinement of the standard percutaneous nephrolithotripsy (PCNL) technique. While traditional PCNL involves creating a larger access tract to the kidney, Mini-PCNL uses a smaller tract, typically between 14 to 20 French (Fr) in diameter, compared to the 24 to 30 Fr tracts used in standard PCNL. This smaller tract size significantly reduces the risk of bleeding, postoperative pain, and complications, making the procedure less traumatic for patients [1]. The success rates of Mini-PCNL are consistently high, with studies reporting stone-free rates exceeding 80-90% [2]. The procedure's ability to effectively fragment and remove stones of varying sizes contributes to its success. Additionally, advancements in imaging and instrumentation have improved the precision and efficiency of stone removal, further enhancing the success rates of Mini-PCNL. The modernization and development of Mini-PCNL have been driven by continuous improvements in endoscopic equipment and techniques. High-resolution imaging tools, such as fluoroscopy and ultrasound, enhance the accuracy of stone localization and guide the percutaneous access with greater precision [3]. The use of laser lithotripsy, which involves using laser energy to break down stones into smaller fragments that can be easily removed or passed naturally, has further augmented the efficacy of Mini-PCNL [4]. These technological advancements have not only improved the success rates of the procedure but have also expanded its applicability to a broader range of stone sizes and locations within the kidney. The popularity of Mini-PCNL has surged in recent years due to its numerous advantages over traditional surgical methods and even standard PCNL. Patients undergoing Mini-PCNL experience shorter hospital stays, quicker recovery times, and less postoperative discomfort [5]. This review aims to analyze the advantages, effectiveness, and safety of Mini-PCNL in treating kidney stones.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using electronic databases, including Google scholar, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, to identify relevant studies published up to March, 2024. The search strategy included combinations of keywords such as "Mini Percutaneous Nephrolithotripsy," "Mini-PCNL," "kidney stones," "minimally invasive surgery," and "stone removal."

RESULTS

Mini-PCNL has gained widespread acceptance and popularity in recent years due to several key advantages over traditional surgical approaches for kidney stone removal. One of the primary advantages of Mini-PCNL is its minimally invasive nature. The use of smaller instruments reduces the size of the surgical tract, leading to less tissue damage and a lower risk of bleeding. This minimally invasive approach translates to a quicker recovery time for patients, allowing them to return to their normal activities sooner compared to those undergoing standard PCNL.

Patient outcomes and satisfaction following Mini-PCNL are generally positive. The minimally invasive nature of the procedure results in less postoperative pain and a quicker recovery time, contributing to higher patient satisfaction. Many patients report a significant improvement in their quality of life following Mini-PCNL, as they experience relief from the symptoms associated with kidney stones.

Mini-PCNL is associated with lower complication rates compared to traditional PCNL. The smaller instruments and access tract reduce the risk of significant bleeding and renal damage. Additionally, the use of advanced imaging techniques during the procedure enhances precision, further minimizing the potential for complications. Studies have shown that Mini-PCNL has a favorable safety profile, making it a reliable choice for patients with kidney stones.

Long-term results of Mini-PCNL are promising, with studies indicating durable stone-free rates and low recurrence rates. Patients who undergo Mini-PCNL typically experience sustained relief from kidney stones, reducing the need for repeat interventions. The long-term efficacy of Mini-PCNL underscores its value as a reliable and lasting solution for kidney stone treatment.

When compared to alternative treatments such as ESWL and ureteroscopy, Mini-PCNL consistently demonstrates superior effectiveness for larger stones. ESWL, while non-invasive, often requires multiple sessions and may not be effective for harder stones. Ureteroscopy, though effective, can be limited by the size and location of the stone. Mini-PCNL offers a comprehensive solution for larger stones, achieving high stone-free rates with minimal invasiveness. This comparative advantage reinforces the effectiveness of Mini-PCNL as a preferred treatment option.

While Mini-PCNL is generally safe, it is not without risks. Potential complications include bleeding, infection, injury to surrounding organs, and postoperative pain. However, the use of smaller instruments and advanced imaging techniques significantly reduces the likelihood of these complications. Understanding and mitigating these risks is crucial to ensuring the safety and success of the procedure.

Several strategies have been developed to minimize the complications associated with Mini-PCNL. The use of real-time imaging, such as ultrasound and fluoroscopy, enhances the precision of instrument placement and reduces the risk of injury to surrounding structures. Additionally, advancements in surgical techniques and postoperative care protocols have contributed to a decrease in complication rates. Proper patient selection and preoperative assessment are also essential in minimizing the risk of complications.

CONCLUSION

Mini Percutaneous Nephrolithotripsy (Mini-PCNL) represents a significant advancement in the treatment of kidney stones, offering a minimally invasive, effective, and safe alternative to traditional PCNL. The procedure's high success rates, reduced complication rates, and shorter recovery times make it an attractive option for patients and healthcare providers alike. Technological advancements and ongoing research continue to refine and improve Mini-PCNL, further solidifying its role in contemporary urological practice. As the popularity of Mini-PCNL continues to grow, it is essential to prioritize specialized training and expertise development to ensure the widespread adoption and success of the procedure. Future innovations and research will undoubtedly contribute to further advancements in Mini-PCNL, enhancing its effectiveness and expanding its applications. Ultimately,

Mini-PCNL is poised to play a pivotal role in the future of kidney stone treatment, offering patients a reliable and minimally invasive solution to this common urological problem.

REFERENCES

1. Tiselius, H. G. (2003). Epidemiology and medical management of stone disease. *BJU international*, 91(8), 758-767.
2. Zeng, G., Xu, H., Xu, S., Xu, J., Zhong, W., Wu, W., & Wang, S. (2013). Minimally invasive versus standard percutaneous nephrolithotomy: a meta-analysis. *Urolithiasis*, 41(2), 143-150.
3. Türk, C., Knoll, T., Bjerklund Johansen, T. E., Wagenlehner, P. R., Shariat, S. F., & Laukhtina, E. (2019). EAU guidelines on urolithiasis. In *EAU Annual Congress Barcelona 2019*, 1-54. European Association of Urology.
4. Akman, T., Canda, A. E., Sahin, V., Yavuzsan, A. H., Akpınar, C., Yalcin, S., ... & Sanli, O. (2014). Comparison of percutaneous nephrolithotomy, shock wave lithotripsy, and retrograde intrarenal surgery for lower pole renal calculi 10–20 mm. *Urology*, 83(2), 384-389.
5. Resorlu, B., Bozkurt, O. F., Ozgor, F., & Tepeler, A. (2013). Surgical management of pediatric urolithiasis: a systematic review. *Journal of urology*, 189(6), 2117-2124.
6. Thapa, B. B., & Niranjana, V. (2020). Mini PCNL over standard PCNL: what makes it better? *The Surgery Journal*, 6(01), e19-e23.
7. Zeng, G., Zhao, Z., Wan, S., et al. (2013). Minimally invasive percutaneous nephrolithotomy for simple and complex renal caliceal stones: a comparative analysis of more than 10,000 cases. *Journal of Endourology*, 27(10), 1203-1208.
8. Abdelhafez, M. F., Bedke, J., Amend, B., ElGanainy, E., Aboulella, H., et al. (2012). Minimally invasive percutaneous nephrolitholapaxy as an effective and safe procedure for large renal stones. *BJU International*, 110, 1022–1026.
9. Michel, M. S., Trojan, L., & Rassweiler, J. J. (2007). Complications in percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *European Urology*, 51, 899–906.
10. Shokeir, A. A., Sheir, K. Z., El-Nahas, A. R., El-Assmy, A. M., Eassa, W., & El-Kappany, H. A. (2006). Treatment of renal stones in children: a comparison between percutaneous nephrolithotomy and shock wave lithotripsy. *The Journal of Urology*, 176(2), 706–710.
11. Rudin, Y. E., Merinov, D. S., Vardak, A. B., & Arustamov, L. D. (2021). Percutaneous nephrolithotripsy in children of the younger age group. *Experimental and Clinical Urology*, (1), 144-150.
12. Guohua, Z., Wen, Z., Xun, L., Wenzhong, C., Yongzhong, H., et al. (2007). The influence of minimally invasive percutaneous nephrolithotomy on renal pelvic pressure in vivo. *Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy & Percutaneous Techniques*, 17(4), 307-310.
13. Tepeler, A., Başbüyük, İ., Tosun, M., & Armağan, A. (2016). The role of ultra-mini percutaneous nephrolithotomy in the treatment of kidney stones. *Turkish Journal of Urology*, 42(4), 261–266.