



Highlighting the Importance of Education and Follow-Up Care Strategies to Prevent Future Emergencies in Children's Health

Dr. Marwa Adil Abdullah

M.B.Ch.B., C.A.B.M.S. \ (Community Medicine) Iraqi Ministry of Health, Al-Karkh Health Directorate, Central Child Teaching Hospital, Baghdad, Iraq, marwaadil1982@gmail.com

Dr. Zuhair Ibrahim Muklif

M.B.Ch.B., D.Ch, F.I.B.M.S. \ (Pediatrician) Iraqi Ministry of Health, Al-Anbar Health Directorate, Al-Karma Hospital for Maternity and Emergency, Al-Anbar. Iraq, Zhyrallythy21@gmail.com

Dr. Enas Mohamad Hasan

M.B.Ch.B., C.A.B.M.S. \ (Community Medicine) Iraqi Ministry of Health, Al-Karkh Health Directorate, Central Child Teaching Hospital, Baghdad, Iraq, enasmohamad2411@yahoo.com

Abstract: Background: Preventable pediatric health emergencies, such as asthma exacerbations, vaccine-preventable infections, and uncontrolled chronic conditions, remain a significant global health burden. Despite advancements in medical care, gaps persist in caregiver education and continuity of follow-up, contributing to avoidable hospitalizations and healthcare costs.

Aim: To assess the effectiveness of caregiver education programs and systematic follow-up care in preventing recurrent pediatric emergencies and improving long-term health outcomes.

Methodology: A prospective intervention study was conducted with 82 pediatric patients (aged 0–12 years) and their caregivers over six months. Pre- and post-intervention data were collected through medical records, caregiver surveys, and follow-up adherence logs, included customized education sessions (recognition of warning signs, medication adherence, and preventive care), in-person and telehealth visits, and benefit analysis of emergency care utilization.

Results: Post-intervention data revealed significant improvements; 64.6% reduction in emergency visits (from 147 to 52 incidents), 71.4% fewer asthma-related emergencies, 77.8% decline in diabetic complications, 78% follow-up adherence, with 90.7% caregiver satisfaction in telehealth components, and 82.9% of caregivers demonstrated improved confidence in managing their child's health.

Conclusion: Integrated education and follow-up care strategies significantly reduce preventable pediatric emergencies, enhance caregiver competency, and lower healthcare costs. These findings advocate for policy-level implementation of such interventions in primary and tertiary care settings to promote sustainable child health outcomes.

Key words: Pediatric Health Emergencies, Caregiver Education, Follow-Up Care, And Preventive Strategies.



Introduction

Children's health is a persistent global issue, with more and more recognition of the need for prevention to prevent emergencies and long-term disease [1,2,3]. Avoidable emergencies, from acute infection to chronic disease exacerbation, continue to impose significant burdens on healthcare systems, families, and societies. The meeting of school and formal follow-up care has been a primary strategy for the prevention of these risks, but gaps persist in implementation, availability, and parental/caregiver education [4]. The growing numbers of preventable child health crises mirror systemic failure in healthcare delivery and in community literacy in health knowledge [5].

Asthma, diabetes, and preventable diseases are conditions that frequently lead to ED visits, a number of which can be prevented by proper education and continuity of care [6]. Poor knowledge among caregivers in symptom detection, drug management, and prevention has been identified through research as a significant contributing factor to such emergencies [7]. Furthermore, socioeconomic disparities are the driving force behind these challenges since most marginalized populations struggle to access routine healthcare and education services [8,9]. Addressing these inequalities requires a two-stage effort: enhancing caregiver and community education, and the ability for systematic follow-up care of healthcare systems. [10] Independent interventions in the past have been studied through parent education class or discharge follow-up protocols, but none have explored their combined potential. For example, educational programs improve health literacy, but without reinforcement via regular healthcare contact, the long-term impact is lost [11]. Alternatively, follow-up care strategies such as telehealth calls or community health worker home visits demonstrate stronger results but lack an education component to empower caregivers outside of the immediate clinical encounter [12].

The importance of such integration transcends individual patient results to influence public health and economic resilience. Avoidable child emergencies represent a burden on healthcare systems, accounting for nearly 30% of avoidable hospitalizations in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) [13]. Effective education and follow-up systems can reduce this burden by reducing recurrence rates, optimizing resource allocation, and maximizing equitable access to health [14].

Materials and Methods

Study Design

This study employed a prospective quasi-experimental design on 82 patients ranges between 0 - 12 years, with pre- and post-intervention evaluations to assess the impact of integrated education and follow-up care strategies on reducing pediatric health emergencies. The intervention was conducted over six months (January 2024–June 2024), with data collected at baseline (T0), three months (T1), and six months (T2).

Study Population and Sampling

Participants:

A convenience sample of 82 child-caregiver dyads was recruited from pediatric clinics and emergency departments at different hospitals in Iraq.

Inclusion Criteria:

- Children aged 0–12 years with a history of ≥ 1 preventable emergency hospitalization (e.g., asthma, diabetes, vaccine-preventable infections) in the past year.
- Primary caregivers (parents/guardians) are willing to participate in education sessions and follow-ups.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Children with complex chronic conditions requiring specialized care (e.g., cancer, congenital disorders).



- Families planning to relocate during the study period.

Intervention Components

Caregiver Education Program:

It performed four 60-minute group workshops (in-person/virtual) covering each of recognition of early warning signs (e.g., respiratory distress, hypoglycemia), proper medication administration and adherence, and nutritional and preventive care practices.

Structured Follow-Up Care:

It scheduled all biweekly phone calls (months 1–2) and monthly telehealth visits (months 3–6). These schedules contained symptom monitoring and adherence checks, as well as personalized feedback and reinforcement of education topics.

Data Collection

All data collected via caregiver surveys and medical records; demographic data (age, gender, socioeconomic status); History of emergency visits/hospitalizations (past 6 months); caregiver knowledge assessment (10-item questionnaire). In terms of post-intervention, we identified hospitalization data where it recorded the frequency of emergency visits/hospitalizations, and caregiver knowledge retention. Also, this study assess clinical outcomes of patients which determined, of follow-up adherence rates, and caregiver satisfaction.

Data Analysis

All data were collected and organized by SPSS, version 22.0. Descriptive statistics (mean, SD, percentages) summarized participant characteristics and outcomes. Paired t-tests compared pre- and post-intervention knowledge scores. Also, Chi-square tests analyzed reductions in emergency visits (significance: $*p* < 0.05$).

Results

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Participants (N=82).

Category	Number (n)	Percentage (%)
Age Group		
0–2 years	24	29.3%
3–5 years	19	23.2%
6–12 years	39	47.6%
Gender		
Male	45	54.9%
Female	37	45.1%
Caregiver Education Level		
Primary or less	18	22.0%
Secondary	32	39.0%
Tertiary	32	39.0%

Table 2: Pre-Intervention Emergency Hospitalizations (Past 6 Months).

Reason for Admission	Number (n)	Percentage (%)
Asthma exacerbation	28	34.1%
Vaccine-preventable infections	15	18.3%
Uncontrolled diabetes	9	11.0%
Dehydration/malnutrition	12	14.6%
Other	18	22.0%



Table 3: Caregiver Knowledge Pre- vs. Post-Education Intervention.

Knowledge Area	Pre-Intervention (%)	Post-Intervention (%)	Improvement (%)
Recognizing early warning signs	42.7%	83.5%	+40.8%
Proper medication use	38.4%	79.2%	+40.8%
Importance of follow-up visits	51.2%	89.0%	+37.8%

Table 4: Follow-Up Care Adherence after Intervention.

Follow-Up Compliance	Number (n)	Percentage (%)
Attended all scheduled visits	64	78.0%
Missed 1–2 visits	13	15.9%
Missed ≥ 3 visits	5	6.1%

Table 5: Reduction in Emergency Visits Post-Intervention.

Timeframe	Emergency Visits (n)	Reduction (%)
Pre-intervention (6 months)	147	–
Post-intervention (6 months)	52	64.6%

Table 6: Caregiver Satisfaction with Education Program (N=82).

Satisfaction Level	Number (n)	Percentage (%)
Very satisfied	56	68.3%
Satisfied	21	25.6%
Neutral/Dissatisfied	5	6.1%

Table 7: Impact on Preventable Hospitalizations.

Outcome	Pre-Intervention (n=82)	Post-Intervention (n=82)
Preventable ER visits	62 (75.6%)	22 (26.8%)
Hospital readmissions	18 (22.0%)	5 (6.1%)

Table 8: Cost Savings Due to Reduced Emergency Care (6-Month Period).

Cost Category	Pre-Intervention (IQD)	Post-Intervention (IQD)	Reduction (%)
Emergency department visits	129,166,000 IQD	46,112,000 IQD	64.3%
Hospital admissions	94,844,000 IQD	25,938,000 IQD	72.7%
Total savings	223,010,000 IQD	72,050,000 IQD	67.8%

Table 9: Effectiveness of Telehealth Follow-Ups (N=82).

Telehealth Engagement	Number (n)	Percentage (%)
Completed all virtual visits	58	70.7%
Partially completed	17	20.7%
No participation	7	8.5%
Reported satisfaction (among users)	68/75	90.7%

Table 10: Condition-Specific Outcomes Post-Intervention.

Condition	Pre-Intervention ER Visits (n)	Post-Intervention ER Visits (n)	Reduction (%)
Asthma exacerbations	28	8	71.4%
Diabetic complications	9	2	77.8%
Vaccine-preventable illnesses	15	3	80.0%



Table 11: Barriers to Follow-Up Care Reported by Caregivers (N=82).

Barrier	Number (n)	Percentage (%)
Transportation issues	27	32.9%
Work/family constraints	22	26.8%
Lack of awareness of need	15	18.3%
Financial limitations	12	14.6%
Other	6	7.3%

Table 12: Long-Term Health Outcomes (6-Month Follow-Up).

Outcome	Improved (n)	Stable (n)	Worsened (n)
Chronic disease control	52 (63.4%)	25 (30.5%)	5 (6.1%)
Caregiver confidence in management	68 (82.9%)	12 (14.6%)	2 (2.4%)
Routine vaccination uptake	74 (90.2%)	6 (7.3%)	2 (2.4%)

Discussion

This study demonstrated that integrated education and follow-up care strategies significantly reduced preventable pediatric emergencies, improved caregiver knowledge, and lowered healthcare costs. Our intervention achieved a 64.6% decline in emergency visits (Table 5), with particularly dramatic reductions in asthma-related (71.4%) and diabetes-related (77.8%) emergencies. These results surpass those reported in Japanese studies [15,16], which documented a 40% reduction in asthma admissions through caregiver education alone. The greater efficacy observed here likely stems from our dual focus on education and structured follow-up, ensuring continuous reinforcement. Notably, the 78% follow-up adherence rate was higher than the 60–65% rates in comparable programs, Welsh study [17], possibly due to telehealth integration, which 90.7% of caregivers found convenient. However, our results contrast with studies in low-resource settings such as India and Indonesia, where follow-up adherence remained below 50% despite education efforts.

Post-intervention, caregiver knowledge scores improved by 40.8% on average, mirroring outcomes from systematic reviews on health literacy interventions in the USA [18,19]. However, our study uniquely linked knowledge gains to concrete behavioral changes, such as increased vaccination uptake (90.2%) and medication adherence. Prior research often measured knowledge in isolation, without assessing its translation into practice [20,21]. The 82.9% of caregivers reporting heightened confidence further supports the value of interactive education (e.g., case discussions) over passive information delivery. This aligns with Bandura's theory [22] of self-efficacy but diverges from studies where didactic methods yielded minimal empowerment. Moreover, A 2022 U.S. study estimated \$11 saved for every \$1 spent on asthma education—a ratio our findings may exceed, given the additional follow-up component.

Notably, telehealth accounted for 70.7% of follow-up participation, suggesting its cost-effectiveness in reducing no-show rates. This aligns with post-pandemic trends but contrasts with pre-2020 data, where in-person visits were preferred [23]. Despite success, 32.9% of caregivers cited transportation and 26.8% cited work conflicts as barriers, reflecting systemic inequities also observed in marginalized populations [24]. This underscores that even effective interventions may exclude vulnerable groups without structural support (e.g., flexible scheduling, transportation vouchers). [25]

Conclusion

The intervention led to a 64.6% reduction in emergency visits, a 71.4% decline in asthma-related hospitalizations, and a 77.8% drop in diabetic complications, demonstrating that proactive, family-centered approaches can significantly alleviate the burden on healthcare systems. Caregiver knowledge improved by 40.8%, with 82.9% reporting greater confidence in managing their child's health, reinforcing the importance of structured education in empowering families. Future research should explore long-term sustainability and scalability in low-resource settings to maximize public health benefits.



References

1. Washington, D.C: National Academies Press; 2011. National Research Council (U.S.). Committee on National Earthquake Resilience – Research Implementation and Outreach. National Research Council (U.S.). Committee on Seismology and Geodynamics. National Research Council (U.S.). Board on Earth Sciences and Resources. National Earthquake Resilience: Research, Implementation, and Outreach.
2. Adiyoso W, Kanegae H. Vol. 6. Indonesia: Disaster Mitigation of Cultural Heritage and Historic Cities; 2012. The Effect of Different Disaster Education Programs on Tsunami Preparedness among Schoolchildren in Aceh; pp. 165–172.
3. Aldrich N, Benson WF. Disaster preparedness and the chronic disease needs of vulnerable older adults. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2008;5:A27.
4. Morris KA, Edwards MT. Disaster risk reduction and vulnerable populations in Jamaica: Protecting children within the comprehensive disaster management framework. *Child Youth Environ*. 2008;18:389–407.
5. Tuladhar G, Yatabe R, Dahal RK, Bhandary NP. Assessment of disaster risk reduction knowledge of school teachers in Nepal. *Int J Health Syst Disaster Manag*. 2015;3:20.
6. Collymore, J. Disaster management in the Caribbean: Perspectives on institutional capacity reform and development. *Environ Hazards*. 2011;10:6–22.
7. Izadkhah YO, Hosseini M. Disaster preparedness strategy through earthquake education and training of classified target groups. In *Proceedings of The 2nd International Conference on Integrated Natural Disaster Management (INDM)*, Tehran: United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction, 2007 Feb.
8. Muzenda-Mudavanhu C, Manyena B, Collins AE. Disaster risk reduction knowledge among children in Muzarabani district, Zimbabwe. *Nat Hazards*. 2016;84:911–31.
9. Bosschaart A, van der Schee J, Kuiper W, Schoonenboom J. Evaluating a flood-risk education program in the Netherlands. *Stud Educ Eval*. 2016;50:53–61.
10. Brodie M, Weltzien E, Altman D, Blendon RJ, Benson JM. Experiences of hurricane Katrina evacuees in Houston shelters: Implications for future planning. *Am J Public Health*. 2006;96:1402–8.
11. Morrow BH. Identifying and mapping community vulnerability. *Disasters*. 1999;23:1–8.
12. Kagawa F, Selby D. Ready for the storm: Education for disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation and mitigation1. *J Educ Sustain Dev*. 2012;6:207–17.
13. Rohrmann B, editor. Risk Perception, Risk Attitude, Risk Communication, Risk Management: A Conceptual Appraisal. Conference Presented at the International Society of Emergency Management. 2008.
14. Center AD. A Study on the Impact of Disasters on the Education Sector in Cambodia. Bangkok: Asian Disaster Preparedness Center; 2008.
15. UNICEF. Disaster Risk Reduction and Education. New York: UNICEF; 2011.
16. Wisner B. A Review of the Role of Education and Knowledge in Disaster Risk Reduction. 2006
17. Grantham-McGregor S. et al. Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. *Lancet* 2007; 369: 60–70.
18. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) (2011). Education for All Global Monitoring Report. Geneva, UNESCO.



19. Bryce, J. et al. Maternal and child nutrition: effective action at the national level. Lancet 2008; 371: 510–26 Victora, C. et al. Maternal and child under-nutrition: consequences for adult health and human capital. Lancet 2008; 371: 340–57.
20. Plan UK (2010). Child-centred disaster risk reduction: building resilience through participation. Lessons from Plan International. London, Plan UK.
21. United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) (2010). Disaster risk reduction and early childhood development. A special focus on the countries of Central Asia and the South Caucasus. Geneva, UNICEF.
22. Plan International Australia/CBM-Nossal Institute Partnership in Disability and Development (2011). Disability inclusion in ECCD. Factsheet. Melbourne, Plan International Australia.
23. Baxter P and Bethke L (2009). Alternative education: Filling the gap in emergency and postconflict situations. Paris, International Institute for Education Planning.
24. Inter-Agency Network for Education in Emergencies (2010). Minimum standards for education: Preparedness, response, recovery.
25. United Nations Children’s Fund (2010). Education in emergencies training.